# Geotechnical Engineering Report Kitsap County Pump Station 3 Upgrades and Silverdale Waterfront Center Silverdale, Washington

November 11, 2021

Prepared for

BHC Consultants, LLC 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98101

Port of Silverdale 3550 NW Byron Street Silverdale, Washington 98383



500 Columbia St NW, Ste 110 Olympia, WA 98501 360.791.3178

Landau Associates

## Geotechnical Engineering Report Kitsap County Pump Station 3 Upgrades and Silverdale Waterfront Center Silverdale, Washington

This document was prepared by, or under the direct supervision of, the undersigned, whose seal is affixed below.

Name: Benjamin Ford, PE Washington/No. 56249

Date: November 11, 2021

Document prepared by:

Project Manager

Benjamin Ford, PE

21

Document reviewed by: **Quality Reviewer** 

Whitney Ciani, PE

Date: Project No.: File path: November 11, 2021 1073020.020.021 \\olympia1\PROJECTS\1073\020.020\021\R\Geotech\Signature Page.docx



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	) INTRODUCTION1-1			
	1.1 Project Understanding			
		1.1.1	Kitsap County Pump Station 3 Upgrades	1-1
		1.1.2	Port of Silverdale Waterfront Center	1-1
2.0		SITE CO	ONDITIONS	2-1
	2.1		Geologic Setting	2-1
	2.2		Surface Conditions	2-1
	2.3		Subsurface Conditions	2-2
		2.3.1	Soil Conditions	2-2
		2.3.2	Groundwater Conditions	2-3
		2.3.3	Groundwater Salinity	2-4
3.0		SEISM	IIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	3-1
	3.1		Seismic Design Parameters	3-1
	3.2		Liquefaction and Lateral Spreading	3-1
		3.2.1	Liquefaction Consequences and Seismic Risks	3-2
4.0		PUMP	STATION 3 DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS	4-1
	4.1		Temporary Shoring and Construction Dewatering	4-2
		4.1.1	Pump Station 3	4-4
		4.1.2	McConnell Gravity Force Main	4-5
		4.1.3	Carlton Gravity Sewer	4-5
		4.1.4	Vibration and Settlement Monitoring	4-6
	4.2		Pump Station Design	4-6
		4.2.1	Control Building Foundation Support	4-6
		4.2.2	Wet Well Foundation Support	4-7
		4.2.3	Lateral Resistance	4-7
		4.2.4	Lateral Earth Pressures	4-8
		4.2.5	Uplift Resistance	4-8
		4.2.6	Slabs-On-Grade	4-8
		4.2.7	Lightweight Fill	4-9
		4.2.8	Low-permeability Fill	4-9
	4.3		Earthwork	4-9
		4.3.1	Subgrade Preparation	4-10
		4.3.2	Utility Trench Excavation and Backfill	4-10
		4.3.3	Site Soil	
		4.3.4	Import Soil	4-11
		4.3.5	Structural Fill Compaction	4-11
		4.3.6	Temporary Excavations	4-11

5.0	WATERFRONT CENTER DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS5				
5.1	L	Site A Structural Design Recommendations	5-1		
	5.1.1	Shallow Foundation and Retaining Wall Design Parameters	5-2		
5.2	2	Site B Structural Design Recommendations	5-3		
5.3	3	Settlement Considerations	5-4		
5.4	1	Stormwater Management	5-4		
5.5	5	Pavement Design	5-4		
5.6	5	Earthwork	5-5		
	5.6.1	Subgrade Preparation	5-5		
	5.6.2	Utility Trench Excavation and Backfill	5-5		
	5.6.3	Site Soil	5-6		
	5.6.4	Import Soil	5-6		
	5.6.5	Structural Fill Compaction	5-6		
	5.6.6	Permanent Slopes	5-6		
	5.6.7	Construction Dewatering	5-6		
	5.6.8	Temporary Excavations	5-7		
6.0	CONST	FRUCTION SUPPORT	6-1		
7.0	USE OF THIS REPORT				
8.0	REFERENCES				

### **FIGURES**

Figure	Title
1	Vicinity Map
2a-b	Site and Exploration Plan
3	Groundwater Monitoring Data
4	Lateral Earth Pressure Diagrams
5	Uplift Footings

### **TABLES**

<u>Table</u>	Title
1	Groundwater Levels Observed at Time of Drilling
2	2018 International Building Code Seismic Design Parameters
3	Liquefaction-Induced Ground Surface Settlement
4	Pump Station 3 Wet Well Shoring Options
5	Pin Pile Design Parameters
6	Lateral Resistance Design Parameters
7	Low-Permeability Soil Gradation
8	Summary of Design Parameters for Shallow Foundations and Retaining Walls
9	Recommended Asphalt Pavement Design Sections

### **APPENDICES**

Appendix <u>Title</u>

- A Field Explorations
- B Laboratory Testing
- C Historical Subsurface Information

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
ASTM	ASTM International
bgs	below ground surface
внс	BHC Consultants, LLC
County	Kitsap County
EFD	equivalent fluid density
EPS	expanded polystyrene
ft	foot/feet
ft/day	feet per day
H:V	horizontal to vertical
LAI	Landau Associates, Inc.
pcf	pounds per cubic foot
Port	Port of Silverdale
PS-3	Pump Station 3
psf	pounds per square foot
q <sub>s</sub>	surcharge pressure
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation

This page intentionally left blank.

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This report summarizes the results of geotechnical engineering services provided by Landau Associates, Inc. (LAI) in support of the Kitsap County Pump Station 3 Upgrades and Port of Silverdale Waterfront Center project in Silverdale, Washington (site; Figure 1).

## 1.1 **Project Understanding**

On March 12, 2021, BHC Consultants, LLC (BHC; PS-3 project civil engineer) subcontracted LAI to provide geotechnical engineering services in support of Kitsap County's (County) Silverdale Pump Station 3 (PS-3) Upgrades project. On June 18, 2021, the Port of Silverdale (Port) contracted LAI to provide geotechnical engineering services for its Waterfront Center project, located near PS-3.

The geotechnical recommendations herein are applicable to the design and construction of both projects. Proposed structures will be designed to accommodate rising sea levels. All elevations are provided in North American Vertical Datum of 1988.

### 1.1.1 Kitsap County Pump Station 3 Upgrades

The County plans to increase the capacity of PS-3 and replace outdated pumping equipment to satisfy current design standards. Larger pumps and motors will be installed to accommodate flow increases and wastewater conveyance upgrades will be made upstream of PS-3. A new wet well and control building will be constructed north of the existing PS-3 site (Figure 2A). A rectangular wet well, measuring 16 feet (ft) wide by 20 ft long by 30 ft deep, is proposed. Excavations extending approximately 15 ft below ground surface (bgs) will be used to connect the improvements to existing conveyance pipes.

The County proposes to improve wastewater conveyance by installing approximately 720 linear feet of 15-inch-diameter gravity sewer lines along Northwest Carlton Street and extending the sanitary sewer force main along McConnell Avenue Northwest, between Northwest Byron Street and Northwest Carlton Avenue (Figure 2B). The new gravity sewer main invert elevation will be approximately 8 to 12 ft bgs, and the force main invert elevation will be approximately 5 ft bgs. Excavations will extend 1 to 2 ft below the invert elevation of the pipes.

### 1.1.2 Port of Silverdale Waterfront Center

The Port proposes to construct a new community waterfront center on property located south of Northwest Byron Street, between McConnell Avenue Northwest and Washington Avenue Northwest. The development will include one- or two-story structures (a boating center and community living room), open spaces, and covered plazas. Conceptual design drawings indicate that the new structures will be located in two general areas: Sites A and B (Figure 2A). Other proposed site improvements include new hardscapes (asphalt pavement), landscaping, and stormwater management facilities.

## 2.0 SITE CONDITIONS

The following sections describe the geologic setting of the site and the surface and subsurface conditions observed during LAI's field investigation. Interpretations of site conditions are based on LAI's review of available geologic and geotechnical data and on the results of the site reconnaissance, subsurface explorations, and geotechnical laboratory testing.

## 2.1 Geologic Setting

Geologic information for the site and the surrounding area was obtained from the *Geologic Map of the Seabeck and Poulsbo 7.5-minute Quadrangles, Kitsap and Jefferson Counties, Washington* (Polenz et al. 2013). Surficial deposits along the project alignment are mapped as:

- Vashon recessional alluvial and delta fan deposits (Qgoaf): This unit typically consists of unconsolidated, moderately to poorly sorted deposits of gravel, sand, silt, and boulders. Alluvial and delta fan deposits form concentric lobes where meltwater streams emerge from valleys.
- Artificial fill (af): This unit typically consists of sand, cobbles, pebbles, boulders, silt, clay, organic matter, and construction debris, placed as engineered or non-engineered fill. Artificial fill is mapped where verifiable and extensive, to depths of 5 ft or greater. The mapped limits of undocumented fill are shown on Figure 2A.

The soils observed in LAI's August 2021 explorations were generally consistent with the mapped geology. Glacially consolidated soil (glacial drift) and undocumented fill were also observed in the explorations.

## 2.2 Surface Conditions

The PS-3 and Waterfront Center sites are located within a commercially developed area known as "Old Town Silverdale." The PS-3 site consists of the lawn/grassy area north of the existing pump station and south of an asphalt-paved parking lot. The proposed wastewater conveyance upgrades will be made in the area along McConnell Avenue Northwest and Northwest Carlton Street. Both roadways are surrounded by commercial development.

The Waterfront Center site includes the area south of Northwest Byron Street, extending south to the shoreline of Dyes Inlet. This area is developed with one- to two-story commercial buildings, parking lots, and lawn/grassy areas.

Topography south of Northwest Byron Street is relatively flat and slopes from southeast to northwest, with a topographic relief of approximately 2 ft. The site slopes upward along the northern extent of McConnell Avenue Northwest, with a topographic relief of approximately 10 ft along the pipe alignment. Site grades along Carlton Avenue Northwest slope upward from east to west, with a topographic relief of approximately 15 ft along the pipe alignment. Existing surface conditions are shown on Figures 2A and 2B.

## 2.3 Subsurface Conditions

On August 29 and 30, 2021, LAI explored site subsurface conditions by advancing six hollow-stem auger borings (B-1 through B-6) 20.8 to 56.5 ft bgs. The approximate locations of the explorations are shown on Figures 2A and 2B.

LAI personnel coordinated and monitored the field explorations, collected representative soil samples, and maintained a detailed record of the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions observed. LAI subcontracted the drill rig and operator. Additional information about the field explorations, including summary boring logs, is provided in Appendix A.

Samples were transported to LAI's soils laboratory for further examination and testing. Test results and a description of LAI's geotechnical laboratory testing program are provided in Appendix B.

Historical logs of borings others completed on, and adjacent to, the site are provided in Appendix C. The locations of the historical borings are shown on Figures 2A and 2B.

### 2.3.1 Soil Conditions

The soils observed underlying existing surface conditions (i.e., asphalt and sod) were categorized into four general units:

- **Fill:** Documented and undocumented fill was encountered in borings B-1 through B-4 and B-6 and consisted of gravel with variable sand and silt content, of sand with variable silt and gravel content, or of silt. The fill extended 3 to 5 ft bgs and was in a loose/soft to dense, moist condition. The fill encountered adjacent to structures or beneath roadway sections appeared to be engineered fill (i.e., controlled density fill).
- **Peat:** Peat was encountered beneath the fill in borings B-2 and B-3 and consisted of amorphous-granular peat with little to no wood or fine fibers. The peat was in a very loose, moist to wet condition.
- Glacial outwash: Glacial outwash was observed in all six borings and consisted of gravel with variable sand and silt content; of sand with variable gravel, silt, and organic content; of silt with variable sand and gravel content; and of clayey silt with sand. The glacial outwash was in a loose/soft to very dense/hard, moist to wet or wet condition. Drilling chatter, indicative of cobble inclusion, was observed in the glacial outwash unit. Borings B-1 and B-5 were terminated in this unit.

The glacial outwash generally consisted of permeable, granular soil overlying fine-grained material. The fine-grained material at the base of the glacial outwash unit appears to act as an aquitard between the saturated portion of the glacial outwash unit and the underlying glacial drift unit.

• **Glacial drift:** Glacial drift was encountered beneath the glacial outwash in borings B-2, B-3, B-4, and B-6. This unit was observed to consist of silty gravel with variable sand content; of sand with variable silt and gravel content; or of silt with sand and gravel. The glacial drift unit was encountered in a dense to very dense/hard, moist to wet or wet condition. Drilling chatter,

indicative of cobble inclusion, was observed in the glacial outwash unit. Borings B-2, B-3, B-4, and B-6 were terminated in this unit.

The composition of the glacial drift was observed to be consistent with subglacial meltout till and advance outwash deposits. Though its permeability is highly variable, the glacial drift should not be considered an impermeable material. Confining layers or layers of low permeability are present within this unit.

Though not encountered in LAI's explorations, cobbles and boulders are often present in glacially derived soils and are included in the mapped soil unit descriptions (Polenz et al. 2013). Where noted on the boring logs, drilling chatter should be considered indicative of cobble presence.

#### 2.3.2 Groundwater Conditions

Based on the subsurface conditions observed in LAI's geotechnical explorations and a review of historical groundwater data (Shannon and Wilson 2020), two aquifers are present at the site: an unconfined upper aquifer and a confined lower aquifer. The upper aquifer includes the permeable portion of the glacial outwash unit. The lower aquifer is confined by the fine-grained aquitard ("ML" or "SM" on the boring logs in Appendix A) portion of the glacial outwash unit. It should be assumed that permeable seams within the aquitard provide a conduit between the two aquifers.

The groundwater levels in Table 1 were recorded during LAI's August 2021 field investigation. Open standpipe piezometers (monitoring wells) and pressure transducers were installed in borings B-1 and B-2 to observe site groundwater fluctuations over time. Both monitoring wells were installed within the upper aquifer.

Well Number	Groundwater Level (ft bgs)	Approximate Elevation		
B-1	8.8	14.2		
B-2	9.1	4.4		
B-3	6.0	7.5		
B-4	4.0	11.0		
B-5	12.0	16.0		
B-6	8.6	5.4		

bgs = below ground surface

ft = feet

Groundwater monitoring data recorded between August and October 2021 are provided on Figure 3. Maximum groundwater elevations of 16.3 ft (6.7 ft bgs) and 10.4 ft (3.1 ft bgs) were observed in borings B-1 and B-2, respectively.

A maximum tidal fluctuation of 0.15 ft was noted in groundwater monitoring data collected from boring B-1. Historical site data indicate a maximum tidal fluctuation of 0.8 ft in the upper aquifer at historical boring B-1W and a fluctuation of 1.5 to 4 ft in the lower aquifer. Piezometric levels in the lower aquifer are approximately 3 to 7 ft higher than piezometric levels in the upper aquifer (Shannon and Wilson 2020). Soil heave reported at time of drilling is indicative of pressurized/flowing groundwater conditions in the lower aquifer.

The groundwater conditions reported herein are for the specific locations and dates indicated and may not be representative of other locations and/or times. Site groundwater elevations will vary depending on local subsurface conditions, weather conditions, and other factors. Tidal effects of nearby Dyes Inlet could cause groundwater levels along the project alignment to fluctuate daily. Seasonal fluctuations also are anticipated, with maximum groundwater levels occurring during late winter and early spring.

### 2.3.3 Groundwater Salinity

LAI's scope of services did not include groundwater-salinity measurements; however, salinity measurements were collected as part of the County Bayshore and Washington Improvements project, located adjacent to the PS-3 site. Groundwater samples collected from historical monitoring well B-1W yielded salinity measurements of 0.08 parts per thousand (Shannon and Wilson 2020).

## 3.0 SEISMIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The site is located in the seismically active Pacific Northwest and could be subject to ground shaking during a major seismic event. The following sections include seismic design parameters and an evaluation of seismic hazards present at the site.

## 3.1 Seismic Design Parameters

The 2018 International Building Code (IBC) recommends using a 2-percent-in-50-year exceedance rate design-level earthquake (ICC 2017). Seismic design parameters determined in accordance with the 2018 IBC are presented in Table 2. The site class in Table 2 was determined using standard penetration test N-values and guidance in Section 20.4.2 and Table 20.3-1 of the American Society of Civil Engineers' (ASCE) *Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE 7-16).* 

Site Class	Modal Moment Magnitude <sup>(a)</sup>	PGA (g)	F <sub>PGA</sub>	S <sub>s</sub> (g)	Fa	S1 (g)	Fv
D	7.11	0.628	1.1	1.478	1.0	0.525	1.775 <sup>(b)</sup>

(a) Sourced from the U.S. Geological Survey's 2014 National Seismic Hazards Mapping project (accessed August 6, 2021). (b) When using the coefficient  $F_v = 1.775$ , adhere to Exception 2 requirements for a ground motion hazard analysis in Section 11.4.8 of the American Society of Civil Engineers' *Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE 7-16).* 

F<sub>a</sub>, F<sub>v</sub> = acceleration (0.2-second period) and velocity (1.0-second period) site coefficients, respectively

F<sub>PGA</sub> = peak ground acceleration coefficient

g = force of gravity

PGA = peak ground acceleration

 $S_s$ ,  $S_1 = 0.2$ -second and 1.0-second period spectral accelerations, respectively

The parameters in Table 2 were selected for liquefaction and lateral spreading analyses.

## 3.2 Liquefaction and Lateral Spreading

Liquefaction occurs when a soil mass experiences a significant rise in pore water pressure during earthquake-induced, cyclic shaking. The rise in pore water pressure decreases stress between soil particles, reducing the overall strength of the soil and creating a semi-solid slurry. Deposits of loose, granular soil below the water table are most susceptible to liquefaction, though non-plastic and lowplasticity silts and clays are also susceptible.

Lateral spreading is a phenomenon in which lateral ground displacements occur as a result of soil liquefaction. Lateral spreading typically is observed on sloping ground or on level ground near shoreline slopes.

Liquefaction analysis was performed using the simplified procedure developed by Boulanger and Idriss (2014). The extent of lateral spreading was estimated using the method proposed by Youd et al. (2002). The results of LAI's analyses indicate that several zones within the glacial outwash unit are susceptible to liquefaction and lateral spreading could occur within 300 ft of the Dyes Inlet shoreline.

The risk of seismically induced lateral ground deformation is considered low, with estimated lateral deformations of less than 1 inch. Lateral spreading risks are considered negligible, and mitigation design is not warranted. There is a high risk that seismically induced settlement could occur at the site, and the structural design should account for this possibility.

#### 3.2.1 Liquefaction Consequences and Seismic Risks

Soil liquefaction concerns for the projects are threefold:

- 1. Liquefaction may reduce the soil resistance needed to support foundation loads.
- 2. Liquefaction may cause the ground surface—and thus, the structure— to settle.
- 3. Liquefaction could cause uplift (due to buoyancy) or settlement of buried structures (due to loss of soil bearing strength or ground settlement).

Liquefaction potential varies widely across the site. Table 3 includes estimated liquefaction-induced ground surface settlement at the boring locations where soil conditions were identified as susceptible to liquefaction.

Table 3. I	iquefaction-	Induced (	Ground	Surface	Settlement
Table 3. I	liqueraction	muuceu	uluu	Junace	Jettiement

Boring	B-2	B-3	B-4	B-6
Liquefaction-induced Settlement (inches)	5	2	1	2
Depth to Liquefiable Layer with Thickness > 2 ft	6	9	11	9

ft = feet

Based on the results of its analysis, LAI concludes that the risk of liquefaction-induced damage is most severe in the southern portion of the site (PS-3 and Waterfront Center Site B) and near the Dyes Inlet shoreline. The foundation design recommendations in Sections 4.0 and 5.0 account for the estimated liquefaction effects.

## 4.0 PUMP STATION 3 DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of LAI's geotechnical field investigation and laboratory testing, subsurface conditions along the project alignment are suitable for the proposed PS-3 and wastewater-conveyance improvements. The following recommendations should be incorporated into the project design:

• **Construction dewatering:** Groundwater conditions vary along the project alignment. Groundwater is likely to be encountered in earthwork excavations, and the need for construction dewatering should be anticipated. Dewatering systems will need to account for dewatering induced settlement of the peat soil unit.

The magnitude of construction dewatering will depend on the depth and location of the excavation. A combination of watertight shoring, internal/external dewatering, and groundwater recharge will be required for excavations extending below the aquitard layer, such as those required for construction of the proposed PS-3 wet well.

Excavations that do penetrate the aquitard layer will require variable dewatering effort. To limit the scope of dewatering, construction should be completed during the relatively dry period between late summer and early fall.

- **Temporary shoring:** Temporary shoring requirements along the project alignment will vary and may include open-cut construction and watertight shoring. Watertight shoring will be required at the PS-3 site to limit groundwater inflow into construction excavations.
- Aquitard penetrations: The proposed wet well construction will penetrate the aquitard layer, creating a conduit between the two aquifers and alteration of site groundwater conditions. LAI recommends placing a layer of low-permeability fill to maintain the groundwater conditions and restore the aquitard.
- Vibration and settlement monitoring: Pile driving vibrations and groundwater drawdown could cause ground settlement. A vibration and settlement monitoring plan should be prepared for settlement-sensitive structures located adjacent to construction areas.
- Settlement: The project design should account for the presence of settlement-sensitive soils. The PS-3 site is underlain by compressible, organic soil (peat) and silt, requiring near-surface structures to be supported on driven pile foundations. Pockets of organic soil were observed in LAI's study and historical boring B-1W (Shannon & Wilson 2020) and should be anticipated in the area south of the undocumented fill limits shown on Figure 2A. Compressible soils also are present to the east of the proposed gravity sewer alignment.

Groundwater recharge wells may be required where dewatering of the lower aquifer will reduce groundwater levels in the upper aquifer. Dewatering of soil above or within organic/peat soil deposits will increase loading on highly compressible soil and settlement will occur. Site grades should not be raised; if grades are raised, lightweight fill should be used to create a zero-net increase in bearing pressure.

• **Obstructions:** The site is underlain by glacially derived soil and fill that may contain oversized material (construction debris, cobbles, and boulders). The contractor should be prepared to manage oversized material encountered during construction.

## 4.1 Temporary Shoring and Construction Dewatering

Dewatering and temporary shoring will be required during construction of the proposed improvements. Historical data for the PS-3 site indicate that dewatering wells are capable of providing dry construction conditions in excavations extending approximately 15 ft bgs (Shannon and Wilson 2020). The contractor should be responsible for the design, permitting, installation, monitoring, and maintenance of dewatering system(s). The grain size information in Appendix B can be used to design dewatering systems.

Shoring requirements along the project alignment will vary. Conventional shoring methods, such as trench boxes, can be used to install the force main and the majority of the gravity sewer main. Watertight shoring will be required in excavations at the PS-3 site and in the eastern 100 ft of gravity sewer along Northwest Carlton Avenue. Given the proximity to Dyes inlet, non-watertight shoring and construction dewatering is not considered feasible for PS-3 wet well construction. Driven sheet pile shoring is considered feasible where excavations will remain above the aquitard and within the upper aquifer. Table 4 includes watertight shoring methods that can be used to construct the PS-3 wet well.

Method	Description	Comments
Sheet Piles	Interlocking steel sheet piles act as a barrier to groundwater inflow. Steel sheets typically are installed with vibratory pile-driving hammers. Sheet pile shoring systems are often braced/anchored or cantilevered.	<ul> <li>Pros:</li> <li>Construction costs significantly lower than other watertight shoring methods.</li> <li>Installation can be completed without construction dewatering.</li> <li>Common construction technique that can be completed by many contractors.</li> <li>Method can be adapted for irregularly shaped excavations.</li> </ul> Cons: <ul> <li>A significant external dewatering effort will be required to reduce embedment depth.</li> <li>Inability to lower groundwater levels sufficiently via dewatering may make this method infeasible.</li> <li>Pre-drilling and/or excavation ahead of driving will be required to advance sheet piles.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Obstructions can damage sheets and comprise interlock.</li> <li>Vibration-sensitive structures may be</li> </ul>
		damaged during pile installation.

#### Table 4. Pump Station 3 Wet Well Shoring Options

Method	Description	Comments
		• Installation could cause settlement of the ground and adjacent structures, within a limited distance of the pump station.
Refrigeration plants and pumps are used to circulate chilled brine through temporary pipes (freeze pipes). The brine forms a frozen soil-water matrix that is used to resist lateral earth and hydrostatic pressures during excavation. The soil freezing method is limited by the locations in which pipes can be installed/the locations where there is sufficient moisture to create a solid, frozen mass. Soil can be frozen by the		<ul> <li>Pros:</li> <li>This shoring method reduces groundwater migration and requires the least amount of dewatering.</li> <li>The bottom of the excavation can be targeted as a freezing zone, potentially eliminating the need for a tremie slab.</li> <li>Method can be adapted for construction of irregular shoring shapes (i.e., pump station inlet/outlet).</li> </ul>
	gas, such as nitrogen or carbon dioxide, is injected into the ground, and heat is removed as it evaporates. Chilled brine freezes soil over a 4-week period; direct- injection is a quicker but more expensive method.	<ul> <li>Cons:</li> <li>Ground is frozen over a period of 4 weeks.</li> <li>Method may not work in granular soils with groundwater gradient.</li> <li>The ground must remain frozen for the duration of the excavation.</li> <li>Thawing may induce ground subsidence.</li> </ul>
A secant pile wall consists of a system of interlocking drilled and grouted primary and secondary piles, 3 feet in diameter. Primary piles, spaced approximately 5 to 5½ feet on center, are drilled and grouted first. Before the grout achieves full		<ul> <li>Pros:</li> <li>Tried-and-trued method with which many contractors have experience.</li> <li>If designed by an engineer, the secant pile wall can be used as part of the permanent wet well casing.</li> </ul>
Secant Pile Wall	between and over the primary piles. This overlap (the secant) creates a nearly watertight interlock. Secondary piles may be reinforced for additional lateral resistance.	<ul> <li>Cons:</li> <li>Largest temporary construction area impacted (Large equipment, laydown area for casing, space for concrete trucks and pumper).</li> <li>Installation results in more excavated soil/spoils than other methods.</li> </ul>

The contractor should be solely responsible for the type, design, and layout of engineered shoring systems. Development of earth pressures to be used in shoring design should be the responsibility of the contractor. Structural shoring design should be completed by an engineer licensed in the State of Washington. The contractor should be prepared to manage oversized material (construction debris, cobbles, and boulders) encountered during shoring installation. Geotechnical recommendations for shoring and dewatering of specific project elements are included in the following sections.

### 4.1.1 Pump Station 3

At time of drilling, groundwater was observed at 9.1 ft bgs in boring B-2 and at 6.0 ft bgs in boring B-3. The contractor should assume that groundwater levels will rise during the wet season. Maximum groundwater levels are anticipated to be higher than those shown on Figure 3. Excavations for the PS-3 wet well are anticipated to extend approximately 31 to 32 ft bgs. The upper and lower aquifers will likely be encountered during construction of PS-3 improvements. The contractor should anticipate the need for watertight shoring and construction dewatering. The magnitude of construction dewatering will depend on the configuration and type of shoring selected. To limit the potential for dewatering-induced settlement, drawdown of the upper aquifer should be avoided. Recharge wells should be installed within the upper aquifer if dewatering activities cause drawdown in the upper aquifer. LAI anticipates 1-inch of dewatering induced settlement, where groundwater is drawn down below peat soil deposits. The following key points should be considered when preparing for wet well construction:

• Shoring systems that extend below the base of the excavation and lengthen the groundwaterflow path may require only internal dewatering. LAI estimates that watertight shoring would need to extend to a depth (D) equal to 60 to 80 percent of the groundwater drawdown height (Hw; Detail 1; NAVFAC 1986). Dewatering wells placed outside of the excavation and screened in the lower aquifer can be used to limit embedment depths.



Detail 1. Shoring system.

• Where shoring systems do not sufficiently lengthen the groundwater-flow path, a concrete/grout tremie seal should be placed at the base of the wet well excavation. The shoring systems should extend beneath the base of the excavation to a depth that allows for placement of the tremie seal. The seal should be designed to resist uplift forces caused by hydrostatic pressure in the lower aquifer.

For PS-3 excavations that do not extend below the aquitard layer (elevation -4 ft in boring B-2), sheet pile shoring systems can be used to limit construction dewatering efforts. Dewatering likely will be limited to sumps and pumps, where sheet piles are used to shore excavations with maximum depths of 15 ft bgs.

### 4.1.2 McConnell Gravity Force Main

Groundwater was observed between 6 and 12 ft bgs along the proposed force main alignment. Excavations for the force main are anticipated to extend approximately 6 to 7 ft bgs. The contractor should expect to encounter localized zones of shallow, perched groundwater along the force main alignment and in areas where excavations cross existing utility lines/trenches. The static groundwater table is likely to remain below maximum excavation depths if construction is completed during late summer and early fall. Excavations may extend below the static groundwater table during the wet season, when groundwater levels are elevated.

Where perched groundwater seepage is encountered, conventional sumps and pumps should be sufficient to limit the amount of groundwater that enters excavations. If static groundwater levels rise above the base of the excavations, conventional sumps and pumps may not provide a dry, stable work area, and multiple trash pumps or cutoff walls may be required. More substantial dewatering efforts (i.e., well points) may be required. Completing construction during the relatively dry period between summer and early fall will reduce dewatering needs.

Where open cuts are not feasible, trench boxes will provide suitable support for shallow excavations. The trench should be properly dewatered, and no settlement-sensitive structures or utilities should be located adjacent to the excavation.

### 4.1.3 Carlton Gravity Sewer

Groundwater was observed at 12 ft bgs in boring B-5. Additionally, groundwater was observed between 2 and 4 ft bgs in historical borings advanced along Washington Avenue Northwest, east of the proposed gravity sewer connection. Excavations for the gravity sewer line are anticipated to extend to a maximum depth of 12 ft bgs. Watertight shoring with internal dewatering will be required for the 100-ft segment of gravity sewer line farthest to the east. Once the sewer alignment gains sufficient topographic rise, conventional shoring and dewatering methods will be sufficient.

To limit the potential for dewatering-induced settlement, drawdown of groundwater in the upper aquifer should be avoided. Recharge wells should be installed within the upper aquifer if dewatering activities cause groundwater levels to dip below the typical seasonal low elevation.

Groundwater conditions along the gravity sewer alignment will vary. Where perched groundwater seepage is encountered, conventional sumps and pumps should be sufficient to limit the amount of groundwater that enters excavations. If static groundwater levels are above the base of the excavation, conventional sumps and pumps may not provide a dry, stable work area, and more substantial dewatering efforts (i.e., well points) may be required. Completing construction during summer and early fall will reduce dewatering needs.

A system of driven sheet piles should be used to shore excavations for the eastern 100 ft of gravity sewer main. Where open cuts are not feasible, conventional shoring methods, such as trench boxes,

will provide suitable support for shallow excavations. The trench should be properly dewatered, and no settlement-sensitive structures or utilities should be located adjacent to the excavation.

#### 4.1.4 Vibration and Settlement Monitoring

LAI recommends that a vibration and settlement monitoring specification be prepared for the project. The specification should require the contractor to submit a vibration and settlement monitoring plan that includes a list of proposed equipment, anticipated vibration levels, and corrective measures for vibrations or settlement that exceed project tolerances.

The contractor should be required to complete a pre-construction survey to establish baseline information about the condition of existing, nearby structures and baseline elevations of settlement-sensitive site features (utilities or structures). Photographs of nearby structures should be included in the survey.

### 4.2 Pump Station Design

The following sections include geotechnical design recommendations for the proposed PS-3 improvements.

#### 4.2.1 Control Building Foundation Support

The PS-3 site is underlain by compressible, organic soils, susceptible to liquefaction-induced settlement. Loose to medium dense fill is anticipated at the proposed foundation elevations of ongrade structures. As such, pin pile-supported foundations, rather than shallow foundations, are recommended for the control building and other near-surface structures.

Pin piles consist of steel pipe sections, nominally 2 to 8 inches in diameter with ¼-inch-thick walls. Pipe sections typically conform to ASTM standard A53, *Standard Specification for Pipe, Steel, Black and Hot-Dipped, Zinc-Coated, Welded and Seamless,* and are fabricated from Schedule 40 or 80 steel and joined with weldless compression fittings. Table 5 includes nominal resistances for 6- and 8-inch-diameter pin piles, including downdrag loads caused by soil liquefaction and consolidation settlement. To provide full capacity, pin piles should include at least 3 ft of center-to-center spacing.

Pile Section (diameter)	Allowable Axial Resistance <sup>(a)</sup> (kips)	Downdrag Load (kips)	Minimum Tip Elevation (ft)	Estimated Tip Elevation (ft)
6 inch	30.0	8.3	-15	-25
8 inch	45.0	11.0	-15	-25

Table 5. Pin Pile Design Parameters

(a) Allowable axial resistances include a factor of safety of at least 2 on the calculated ultimate values. ft = feet

When calculating the axial resistances in Table 5, LAI assumed that open-end pin piles would be driven to refusal. If the first pile installed (test pile) does not refuse at the estimated tip elevation, the pile length should be extended until refusal is achieved.

Axial resistance should be proven in the field by load testing at least 5 percent of the production piles or a minimum of one pile. Load testing should be completed in accordance with the "Quick Test" method in ASTM standard test method D1143, *Standard Test Methods for Deep Foundation Elements Under Static Axial Compressive Load*. Testing cannot be completed on a battered pile; a sacrificial pile may be required if all production piles are battered.

Lateral resistance, using the soil response against pile foundations, should not be relied upon. LAI recommends that lateral resistance is achieved with battered piles or grade beams. Pin piles with a batter angle up to 25 degrees from vertical can be designed, if axial and lateral resistances will act parallel with and normal to the pile axis, respectively.

#### 4.2.2 Wet Well Foundation Support

Dense to very dense glacial drift soil is anticipated at the proposed wet well foundation elevation. LAI recommends a net allowable soil bearing pressure of 3,500 pounds per square foot (psf) for shallow foundations that are established on glacial drift soil or on structural fill extending to such soil. This allowable soil bearing pressure applies to long-term dead and live loads, exclusive of the weight of the footing and any overlying backfill. It includes a factor of safety of at least 3.0 on the calculated ultimate bearing capacity. The allowable soil bearing pressure can be increased by one-third for total loads, including transient loads, such as those induced by wind and seismic forces.

If construction is completed as recommended herein, LAI estimates that footings will settle 1 inch or less. Additionally, LAI estimates ½ inch or less of differential settlement across the width of the wet well structure. Settlement will likely occur as building loads are applied during construction.

### 4.2.3 Lateral Resistance

The design parameters in Table 6 should be used in conjunction with the complete recommendations in this report.

Parameter	Value
Passive earth pressure (pcf)	270
Allowable coefficient of sliding	0.35

#### Table 6. Lateral Resistance Design Parameters

pcf = pounds per cubic foot

When calculating frictional resistance acting on the base of footings, the allowable coefficient of sliding resistance should be applied to vertical dead loads only. The allowable coefficient of sliding

resistance includes a factor of safety of 1.5 on the calculated ultimate value. The value for the foundation passive earth pressure has been reduced by a factor of 1.5 to limit deflections to less than 2 percent of the embedded depth. The passive earth pressure and friction components can be combined, provided the passive component does not exceed two-thirds of the total. The top 2 ft of soil should be excluded from the calculation, unless the foundation perimeter will be covered by a slab-on-grade or pavement.

#### 4.2.4 Lateral Earth Pressures

Lateral earth pressures that can be used to design the wet well structure are shown on Figure 4. In general, the wet well structure should be designed to resist at-rest earth pressure, hydrostatic water pressure, temporary construction surcharge, and seismic lateral earth pressures.

#### 4.2.5 Uplift Resistance

Buried, tank-like structures, such as the proposed wet well, will experience an upward, buoyant force when the groundwater level outside of the structure is higher than the fluid level inside the structure. The weight of the structure and sidewall soil friction can be used to provide uplift resistance. Extending the base of the wet well foundation beyond the outside of its perimeter will also provide uplift resistance.

If an extended base slab is used, the weight of the soil overlying the footing can be calculated with an effective wedge (NAVFAC 1986), as shown on Figure 5. Uplift should be calculated using a soil unit weight of 63 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) for buoyant conditions.

Alternatively, sidewall soil friction between the outside of the structure and the surrounding backfill can be used to resist uplift. To calculate frictional resistance, LAI recommends using a lateral soil earth pressure of 30 pcf and a coefficient of friction (tan $\delta$ ) of 0.35 for epoxy-coated structures, 0.57 for cast-in-place structures, and 0.45 for pre-cast concrete structures.

Sidewall soil friction and extended base slabs are alternative methods of uplift resistance and should not be used in conjunction.

#### 4.2.6 Slabs-On-Grade

A modulus of vertical subgrade reaction (subgrade modulus) can be used to design slabs-on-grade for the proposed pump station structures. The subgrade modulus will vary based on the dimensions of the slab and the magnitude of applied loads on the slab surface; slabs with larger dimensions and loads will be influenced by soils to a greater depth. To design slabs-on-grade, LAI recommends using a subgrade modulus of 150 pounds per cubic inch. This subgrade modulus is for a 1-ft by 1-ft square plate and is not the overall modulus of a larger area.

### 4.2.7 Lightweight Fill

The exterior grades of the control building may be raised to accommodate rises in sea level. Fill soils are underlain by compressible, organic soil, presenting a risk for long-term consolidation settlement where new loads are applied. To mitigate long-term settlement risks, LAI recommends using lightweight fill to create a zero-net increase in soil loads. Cellular concrete and expanded shale aggregate are suitable options for lightweight fill. Alternatively, expanded polystyrene (EPS) geofoam with sufficient cover to resist buoyancy could be used. LAI is available to assist with selection of a lightweight fill material once site grades have been finalized.

#### 4.2.8 Low-Permeability Fill

Excavations, extending into the confined aquifer lower aquifer, are planned for construction of the new wet well. The excavations will need to be backfilled with low- permeability fill soil in order to create a seal and limit groundwater seepage to surface from the underlying confined aquifer after construction dewatering is shut off. The design should assume low-permeability fill for wet well structure backfill from elevations -4 to -14. Though not available on site, glacial till is generally well-suited for use as low-permeability fill. Soil used for low-permeability fill should meet the gradation requirements set forth in Table 7.

#### Table 7. Low-Permeability Soil Gradation

Sieve Size	Percent Passing	
6 inch	100	
4 inch	90–100	
No. 4	70–100	
No. 200	40	

No. = number

Low-permeability fill is highly moisture sensitive, and small changes in moisture content will make reaching the required compaction criteria difficult. Low-permeability fill should be placed in loose, horizontal lifts, not exceeding 6-inch thickness, and compacted to 95 of the maximum dry density (MDD) as determined by ASTM International (ASTM) test method D698 (i.e., standard Proctor). LAI recommends that low-permeability fill is placed at 1 percent below to 3 percent above optimum moisture content.

LAI should be contacted to review project plans and provide notes regarding appropriate locations for low-permeability fill. Controlled density fill (CDF) may be an alternative to consider during final design.

## 4.3 Earthwork

The following sections include earthwork construction recommendations for the PS-3 project.

### 4.3.1 Subgrade Preparation

LAI anticipates that the majority of trench and structural excavations will expose loose to medium dense fill or glacial outwash soil at the subgrade elevation. The wet well excavation likely will expose dense to very dense glacial drift soil. The contractor should assume that the upper 1 ft of subgrade will need to be scarified; moisture conditioned; and compacted to a firm, unyielding condition before pipes, formwork, or structural fill is placed.

Accessible subgrades should be proof-rolled in the presence of a qualified civil or geotechnical engineer. In areas of limited access, a steel T-probe can be used to evaluate subgrades. Soft/unsuitable soils should be overexcavated and replaced with structural fill.

### 4.3.2 Utility Trench Excavation and Backfill

LAI anticipates that utility trenches will be excavated in medium dense to very dense fill or glacial outwash soil. A heavy-duty hydraulic excavator should be able to excavate trenches to the required depths. A smooth-bladed bucket should be used to remove loose and/or disturbed soil from the trench bottom. The final trench bottom should be firm and free of roots, topsoil, lumps of silt and clay, and organic and inorganic debris. Unsuitable soil should be overexcavated and replaced with suitable foundation material. Trench backfill should be placed in loose, horizontal lifts, no more than 8 inches thick. The backfill should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum density, determined in accordance with the compaction control tests in Section 2-03.3(14)D of the Washington State Department of Transportation's 2021 *Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge, and Municipal Construction (2021 WSDOT Standard Specifications)*. Alternatively, the maximum dry density can be determined using ASTM standard test method D1557, *Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Modified Effort (56,000 ft-lbf/ft<sup>3</sup> (2,700 kN-m/m<sup>3</sup>))*. Cobbles and boulders are often found in glacial deposits and may be encountered throughout the site. Construction debris also may be encountered in the fill unit.

#### 4.3.3 Site Soil

The granular site soils (i.e., Unified Soil Classification System soils SP, SP-SM, GP, GP-GM, and GM) observed along McConnell Avenue Northwest and Northwest Carlton Street are suitable for reuse as trench backfill or structural fill. Constituents greater than 6 inches in diameter should be screened and removed from the soil prior to compaction. Soil contain organics should not be considered suitable for reuse. Soil may require significant moisture conditioning (wetting or drying) to achieve compaction requirements.

Soil encountered in excavations at the PS-3 site should be considered unsuitable for reuse.

### 4.3.4 Import Soil

Imported trench backfill or structural fill should meet the requirements for Select Borrow or Gravel Borrow in Section 9-03.14 of the 2021 WSDOT Standard Specifications. Trenches may also be backfilled with Bank Run Gravel for Trench Backfill that conforms to the requirements in Section 9-03.19 of the 2021 WSDOT Standard Specification. If wet weather construction is anticipated, the amount of fines should be less than 5 percent by weight, based on the minus ¾-inch fraction.

### 4.3.5 Structural Fill Compaction

Structural fill should be placed and compacted in accordance with the requirements in Section 2-03.3(14)C, Method C of the *2021 WSDOT Standard Specifications*. Each layer of structural fill should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum density, determined in accordance with the compaction control tests in Section 2-03.3(14)D of the *2021 WSDOT Standard Specifications*.

### 4.3.6 Temporary Excavations

The majority of temporary excavations will be advanced in loose to medium dense glacial soil. A heavy-duty hydraulic excavator with sufficient reach should be able to excavate to the proposed depths. A smooth-bladed bucket should be used to remove loose and/or disturbed soil from the base of the excavations. Cobbles and boulders are often found in glacial deposits and may be encountered throughout the site. Construction debris also may be encountered in the fill unit.

Temporary excavations should be completed in accordance with the requirements in Section 2-09 of the *2021 WSDOT Standard Specifications*. The contractor should be responsible for trench configurations and the maintenance of safe working conditions, including temporary excavation stability. All applicable local, state, and federal safety codes should be followed.

Temporary excavations should be no steeper than 1½ horizontal to 1 vertical (1½H:1V), in accordance with the regulations for safe excavation in the State of Washington (Chapter 296-155 of the Washington Administrative Code). If groundwater seepage is present, flatter slopes, temporary shoring, and/or dewatering may be required. Section 4.1 of this report includes design recommendations for engineered shoring systems.

### 5.0 WATERFRONT CENTER DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of LAI's geotechnical field investigation and laboratory testing, site subsurface conditions present several design challenges for the Waterfront Center project. The proposed improvements are considered feasible, provided the following recommendations are incorporated into the project design:

- Unsuitable foundation material: In LAI's opinion, the soil conditions at Waterfront Center Site B are not suitable for shallow foundations. Based on the subsurface conditions observed in borings B-2 and B-3, up to 1 ft of long-term consolidation settlement could occur under typical design loads (i.e., 2,000 psf). Driven pile foundations should be used for structures in Site B.
- Settlement: The project design should account for the presence of settlement-sensitive soils. Pockets of organic soils were observed in borings B-2 and B-3 and in historical boring B-1W (Shannon & Wilson 2020). Organic soils should be anticipated in the area south of the undocumented fill limits shown on Figure 2A. Raising of site grades could result in long-term consolidation settlement. Long-term consolidation settlement is not a concern for structures on Site A.
- **Obstructions:** The Waterfront Center site is underlain by glacially derived soil and fill that may contain oversized material (construction debris, cobbles, and boulders). The contractor should be prepared to manage oversized material encountered during construction.

The following sections include geotechnical conclusions and recommendations to support design of the Waterfront Center project.

## 5.1 Site A Structural Design Recommendations

Based on the results of LAIs liquefaction analyses (Section 3.0), up to 2 inches of liquefaction-induced settlement could occur at Waterfront Center Site A. Two inches of liquefaction-induced differential settlement could occur over a 50-ft horizontal span.

Foundation ties are required for structures that could experience differential settlement in excess of the limits specified in Table 12.13-3 of the ASCE's *Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE 7-16).* Given the estimated differential settlement for Waterfront Center Site A, LAI recommends reviewing the foundation structural design requirements in Table 12.13-3. The risk of lateral spreading is negligible at the proposed building locations between borings B-4 and B-6.

Based on the results of LAI's liquefaction analysis, a 5-ft-thick, liquefiable soil layer is present at approximately 9 ft bgs. The foundation design parameters in Table 8 are applicable only for footings embedded within 2 to 6 ft of ground surface and with lengths less than or equal to 50 ft. If the footing exceeds the embedment depth or length, reduced bearing capacity due to liquefaction could occur. LAI should be contacted for further analysis if the embedment depth or length of footing will be exceeded.

#### 5.1.1 Shallow Foundation and Retaining Wall Design Parameters

Bearing capacities at the site are controlled by settlement and the presence of potentially liquefiable material below the footings. As shown in Table 8, these factors reduce allowable bearing capacities as footing sizes grow. Table 8 includes design parameters that the structural engineer can use to design shallow foundations and retaining walls at Waterfront Center Site A. The parameters should be used in conjunction with the complete recommendations in this report.

Footing Width (ft)	2	3	4	6
Allowable Soil Bearing Pressure <sup>(a-b)</sup> (psf)	3,500	2,800	2,500	2,200
Passive Earth Pressure EFD	300 pcf			
At-rest Earth Pressure EFD	50 pcf			
Active Earth Pressure EFD	tive Earth Pressure EFD 31.2 pcf			
Surcharge Pressure 0.26qs				
Seismic Increment Earth Pressure	15H pcf			
Minimum Foundation Width	24 inches (continuous), 24 inches (isolated)			

Table 8. Summary of Design Parameters for Shallow Foundations and Retaining Walls

(a) Allowable soil bearing pressure limits foundation elastic settlement to 1 inch or less. Values may be increased by one-third for transient loads, such as wind and seismic forces.

(b) This recommendation is applicable for footings up to 50 ft long. LAI should be contacted if the footing size needs to be increased.

(c) This recommendation is applicable for footings up to 6 ft deep. LAI should be contacted if the footing depth needs to be increased.

EFD = equivalent fluid density

ft = feet

H = exposed height of wall in feet

pcf = pounds per cubic foot

psf = pounds per square foot

q<sub>s</sub> = surcharge pressure

When developing foundation design parameters, LAI assumed that shallow foundations would be established on 1 ft of import structural fill. The geotechnical engineer should evaluate native subgrades prior to placement of structural fill.

LAI recommends that the allowable soil bearing pressure and footing size in Table 8 are used to design shallow foundations. The allowable soil bearing pressure applies to long-term dead and live loads, exclusive of the weight of the footing and any overlying backfill. The bearing pressure can be increased by one-third for transient loads, such as those induced by wind and seismic forces.

LAI recommends a footing embedment depth of 2 ft to achieve the bearing capacities in Table 8. This embedment depth will also satisfy frost-protection requirements. Shallow foundation settlement, under static loading, will depend on the foundation size and bearing pressure as well as the strength and compressibility characteristics of the bearing soil. LAI estimates that continuous and isolated

foundations will settle 1 inch or less if constructed as recommended. LAI estimates that ½ inch or less of static differential settlement could occur between similarly loaded foundation elements or along 50 ft of continuous footing. Settlement will likely occur as building loads are applied during construction.

When developing the equivalent fluid densities in Table 8, LAI assumed that walls and exterior footings would be surrounded by free-draining backfill (i.e., no hydrostatic or saturated conditions within the earth pressure zone). Footing/wall drains also should be provided around the walls and exterior footings. Footing drains should not be connected to roof drains.

Active earth pressure conditions are appropriate for walls that can translate or rotate about 0.005H, where H is the exposed height of the wall. At-rest earth pressure conditions should be used for rigid or braced walls (e.g., basement walls). The seismic increment should be added to the active or at-rest earth pressure to compute the total earth pressure during a seismic event.

An allowable coefficient of sliding resistance of 0.45, applied to vertical dead loads only, can be used to compute frictional resistance acting on the base of footings. This coefficient includes a factor of safety of 1.5 on the calculated ultimate value and is based on the assumption that footings will be cast on structural fill.

The passive resistance of properly compacted structural fill placed against the sides of foundations or walls can be considered equivalent to a fluid with a density of 300 pcf. The foundation passive earth pressure has been reduced by a factor of 1.5 to limit deflections to less than 2 percent of the embedded depth. The passive earth pressure and friction components can be combined, provided the passive component does not exceed two-thirds of the total. The top 2 ft of soil should be excluded from the calculation, unless the foundation perimeter will be covered by a slab-on-grade.

Typically, a surcharge load ( $q_s$ ) of 250 psf is used to account for temporary construction equipment and vehicle traffic behind retaining walls. LAI recommends using 0.26 $q_s$  to compute wall pressures. Surcharge loading may be greater than the recommended 250 psf, depending on actual loading conditions. Surcharge loads should be evaluated during final design.

### 5.2 Site B Structural Design Recommendations

Waterfront Center Site B is underlain by highly compressible organic soils. In these soil conditions, shallow foundations will not provide suitable support for the proposed structures. Based on the conditions observed in borings B-2 and B-3, LAI estimates that approximately 1 ft of long-term consolidation settlement could occur under typical design loads (i.e., 2,000 psf). Conditions similar to those observed in borings B-2 and B-3 may be present in the area south of the undocumented fill limits shown on Figure 2A.

The foundation design recommendations in Section 4.2.1 should be used for Waterfront Center Site B. LAI is available to provide driven-pile recommendations, if greater axial capacities are required.

## 5.3 Settlement Considerations

Given the risk for long-term settlement of compressible, organic soils, LAI recommends that grades south of Waterfront Center Site A are not altered. Further, damage could occur if grades are raised in areas where settlement-sensitive utilities are located above compressible soils. Soil preloading is considered feasible in areas without settlement-sensitive structures and/or utilities.

Based on the conditions observed in boring B-3, LAI estimates that approximately 1 inch of long-term settlement will occur for every 1 ft that site grades are raised. In the 2 to 3 months after fill is placed, approximately 80 to 90 percent of total settlement will likely occur as primary consolidation settlement. The other 10 to 20 percent of settlement will likely occur as secondary compression settlement, with a linear relationship between settlement and the logarithm of time. Long-term, secondary compression settlement will be most substantial in the 20 years after construction. After 20 years, secondary compression settlement will continue at a reduced rate.

Grades for walkways/patios within Waterfront Center Site B may be raised to accommodate rises in sea level. To mitigate long-term settlement of organic soils, LAI recommends using lightweight fill to create a zero-net increase in soil loads. Cellular concrete and expanded shale aggregate are suitable options for lightweight fill. Alternatively, EPS geofoam with sufficient cover to resist buoyancy could be used. LAI is available to assist with selection of lightweight fill material once site grades have been finalized.

## 5.4 Stormwater Management

Given the high groundwater levels and undocumented fill observed in LAI's August 2021 explorations, onsite stormwater infiltration is not considered feasible. Additionally, the site has a history of environmental remediation that makes onsite stormwater infiltration untenable. During construction of previous improvements at the Waterfront Park, hydrocarbon-contaminated soil may have been remediated and used to fill beneath pavement sections (Applied Geotechnology Inc. 1993).

With its proximity to Dyes Inlet, the site likely will be flow control-exempt. The design of new stormwater facilities should be commensurate with that of existing site infrastructure (i.e., below-grade water quality treatment vaults). High groundwater conditions may cause buoyancy in below-grade structures. The design of below-grade treatment vaults should account for groundwater at surface. Uplift resistance for vault structures should be designed in accordance with the recommendations in Section 4.2.5.

## 5.5 Pavement Design

Pavement sections should be constructed on a uniformly firm, unyielding subgrade or on structural fill extending to such subgrade soils. When developing the pavement design recommendations in Table 9, LAI assumed a 20-year pavement design life and maximum equivalent single-axle loads of 50,000

for the standard-duty pavement section and 500,000 for the heavy-duty section. LAI is available to provide ridged pavement sections recommendations upon request.

Pavement Section Type	Asphalt Concrete Pavement Thickness	Crushed Surfacing Thickness
Standard Duty	3 inches	4 inches
Heavy Duty	4 inches	8 inches

Table 9. Recommended Asphalt Pavement Design Sections

Crushed surfacing should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density, determined in accordance with ASTM standard test method D1557 or the compaction control tests in Section 2-03.3(14)D of the *2021 WSDOT Standard Specifications*. Crushed surfacing should meet the requirements for Crushed Surfacing Base Course in Section 9-03.9(3) of the *2021 WSDOT Standard Specifications*. To facilitate fine surface grading, the upper 2 inches of crushed surfacing could consist of Crushed Surfacing Top Course. Prevention of road-base saturation is essential for pavement durability; efforts should be made to limit the amount of water entering the base course.

Asphalt concrete should be Class B aggregate material or hot-mix asphalt, class ½-inch, PG58H-22 binder, conforming to the requirements in Section 8 of WSDOT's 2018 *Pavement Policy*. The asphalt should be compacted to at least 91 percent of the Rice density.

## 5.6 Earthwork

The following sections include earthwork construction recommendations for the Waterfront Center project.

### 5.6.1 Subgrade Preparation

LAI anticipates that loose to medium dense fill or glacial outwash will be encountered at subgrade elevation in the majority of trench or structural excavations. The upper 1 ft of subgrade should be scarified; moisture conditioned; and compacted to a firm, unyielding condition before formwork or structural fill is placed.

Accessible subgrades should be proof-rolled in the presence of a qualified civil or geotechnical engineer. A steel T-probe can be used to evaluate subgrades in areas of limited access. Soft/unsuitable soils should be overexcavated and replaced with structural fill.

### 5.6.2 Utility Trench Excavation and Backfill

The recommendations in Section 4.3.2 should be used to excavate and backfill utility trenches for the Waterfront Center project.

### 5.6.3 Site Soil

Granular soils (i.e., Unified Soil Classification System soils SP, SP-SM, and GP-GM) will likely be encountered in Site A excavations; these soils are considered suitable for reuse as trench backfill or structural fill. Constituents greater than 6 inches in diameter should be screened and removed from soil selected for reuse. Soil contain organics should not be considered suitable for reuse. Site A soils should be considered moisture sensitive; significant moisture conditioning (wetting or drying) may be required to achieve compaction requirements.

Soils encountered in Site B excavations are not considered suitable for reuse.

### 5.6.4 Import Soil

The recommendations in Section 4.3.4 should be used for soil imported for the Waterfront Center project.

### 5.6.5 Structural Fill Compaction

The recommendations in Section 4.3.5 should be used to compact structural fill for the Waterfront Center project.

### 5.6.6 Permanent Slopes

Permanent cut-and-fill slopes should be no steeper than 2H:1V. Permanent and temporary slopes should be protected from erosion and reseeded or revegetated as soon as practical.

#### 5.6.7 Construction Dewatering

During the wet season, zones of perched groundwater may be encountered throughout the Waterfront Center site. Based on the conditions observed in LAI's August 2021 borings, groundwater may be encountered between 4 and 8 ft bgs. Temporary excavations should be dewatered to allow construction to be completed in the dry. Where groundwater seepage is encountered, conventional sumps and pumps should be sufficient to dewater excavations. More substantial dewatering efforts will be required where excavations extend below the groundwater table. Groundwater drawdown should be avoided in organic soils, as recommended in Section 4.1.

Watertight sheet piling may be required to dewater elevator pits or other excavations that extend below existing grades. Sheet piles may be difficult to advance in dense to very dense glacial outwash soil. The contractor should be prepared to manage oversized material encountered during construction. The contractor should be responsible for the design, monitoring, and maintenance of dewatering systems.

### 5.6.8 Temporary Excavations

The recommendations in Section 4.3.6 should be used to complete temporary excavations for the Waterfront Center project.

## 6.0 **CONSTRUCTION SUPPORT**

LAI recommends that geotechnical monitoring, testing, and consultation are provided during construction. These construction support services would allow LAI to confirm that site subsurface conditions are consistent with those observed in its field explorations; to provide updated recommendations should conditions differ from those anticipated; and to evaluate whether geotechnical construction activities comply with the project plans and specifications and the recommendations in this report. Geotechnical construction activities include preparation of utility subgrades, deep foundation installation, placement and compaction of backfill material, and other earthwork services. LAI would be pleased to provide construction support services.

### 7.0 USE OF THIS REPORT

Landau Associates has prepared this report for the exclusive use of BHC Consultants, LLC; Kitsap County; the Port of Silverdale; and their designated representatives for specific application to the Kitsap County Pump Station 3 Upgrades and Silverdale Waterfront Center projects in Silverdale, Washington. No other party is entitled to rely on the information, conclusions, and recommendations included in this document without the express written consent of Landau Associates. Reuse of the information, conclusions, and recommendations provided herein for extensions of the project or for any other project, without review and authorization by Landau Associates, shall be at the user's sole risk. Landau Associates warrants that, within the limitations of scope, schedule, and budget, its services have been provided in a manner consistent with that level of skill and care ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in the same locality, under similar conditions as this project. Landau Associates makes no other warranty, either express or implied.

### 8.0 **REFERENCES**

- Applied Geotechnology Inc. 1993. Report: Hydrocarbon Soil Remediation, Port of Silverdale Waterfront Park, Silverdale, Washington. September 16.
- ASCE. 2017. *Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures, ASCE/SEI 7-16.* American Society of Civil Engineers, Structural Engineering Institute.
- ASTM. 2017. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. In: *Soil and Rock (I)*. West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International.
- Boulanger, R.W., and I.M. Idriss. 2014. "CPT and SPT Based Liquefaction Triggering Procedures." Report No. UCD/CGM-141/01. University of California at Davis, College of Engineering, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. April.
- ICC. 2017. 2018 International Building Code. International Code Council. September 13.
- LNI. 2020. Construction Work. Chapter 296-155 WAC; Part N. Excavation, Trenching, and Shoring. Washington State Department of Labor and Industries. Effective October.
- NAVFAC. 1986. *Soil Mechanics. Design Manual 7.01.* Naval Facilities Engineering Command. September.
- Polenz, M., G.T. Petro, T.A. Contreras, et al. 2013. *Geologic Map of the Seabeck and Poulsbo 7.5minute Quadrangles, Kitsap and Jefferson Counties, Washington*. Washington State Department of Natural Resources. Division of Geology and Earth Resources.
- RH2. 2020. Plan Set: Bay Shore & Washington Improvements Project. RH2 Engineering, Inc. February 20.
- Shannon & Wilson. 2020. Geotechnical Recommendations Report: Bay Shore and Washington
   Improvements Project Kitsap County Public Works Department, Silverdale, Washington. January 22.
- WSDOT. 2020. *M41-10: Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge, and Municipal Construction*. 2021 Edition. Washington State Department of Transportation. September 9.
- WSDOT. 2018. *Pavement Policy.* Washington State Department of Transportation, State Pavement Office. September.
- Youd, T.L., C.M. Hansen, and S.F. Bartlett. 2002. "Revised Multilinear Regression Equations for Prediction of Lateral Spread Displacement." *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering.* 128(12):1007–1017.



G:\Projects\1073\020\020\021\F01VicMap (PS3).mxd 9/8/2021


Silverdale, Washington



#### 11/10/21 \\olympia1\PROJECTS\1073\020.020\021\T\Groundwater Monitoring





TABLE 1: RECOMMENDED UNFACTORED LATERAL PRESSURES											
А	В	С	E	F							
275	A+25(D-5)	62.4 (D-5)	0.44q <sub>s</sub>	16D							

TABLE 2: SOIL PARAMETERS										
$K_a$ $K_o$ $K_p$ $\gamma_m/\gamma_{sat}$ c (psf) $\phi$ (deg)										
SOFT/LOOSE TO MEDIUM DENSE SILT AND SAND	0.28	0.44	3.54	120	0	34				



LANDAU ASSOCIATES

Pump Station 3 Upgrades and Waterfront Center Silverdale, Washington

6.

SEISMIC INCREMENT ACTS ON APPROXIMATELY ONE HALF OF THE WELL STRUCTURE AT ANY GIVEN TIME DURING A SEISMIC EVENT. STATIC EARTH AND HYDROSTATIC PRESSURES MAY BE CONSIDERED AXISYMMETRIC ABOUT THE VERTICAL STRUCTURE AXIS, BUT SEISMIC STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE WELL SHOULD INCLUDE AN UNBALANCED SEISMIC PRESSURE.

Lateral Earth Pressure Diagrams

Figure 4



APPENDIX A

# **Field Explorations**

### APPENDIX A FIELD EXPLORATIONS

On July 29 and 30, 2021, Holocene Drilling, Inc., subcontracted by Landau Associates, Inc. (LAI), advanced six hollow-stem auger borings at the approximate locations shown on Figure 2. The borings were advanced 20.8 to 56.5 feet (ft) below ground surface.

LAI personnel coordinated and monitored the field explorations, collected representative soil samples, maintained a detailed record of the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions observed, and described the soil encountered by visual and textural examination. Each representative soil type was described using the soil classification system shown on Figure A-1, in general accordance with ASTM International standard test method D2488, *Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedures)*.

Summary boring logs are provided on Figures A-2 through A-7. The stratigraphic contacts shown on the logs represent the approximate boundaries between soil types; actual transitions may be more gradual. The soil and groundwater conditions depicted are for the specific dates and locations indicated and may not be representative of other locations and/or times.

Disturbed and undisturbed soil samples were obtained from the borings at 2.5- or 5-ft intervals. Samples were collected with a 1.5-inch-inside-diameter, standard penetration test split-spoon sampler. A 140-pound automatic hammer, falling approximately 30 inches, was used to drive the sampler 18 inches (or a portion thereof) into the undisturbed soil. The number of blows required to drive the sampler the final 12 inches (or a portion thereof) of soil penetration is noted on the boring logs, adjacent to the appropriate sample notation.

Upon completion of drilling and sampling, the boreholes were decommissioned in general accordance with the requirements in Washington Administrative Code Chapter 173-160.

Samples were transported to LAI's soils laboratory for further examination and testing. Test results and a discussion of the testing procedures are provided in Appendix B.

		Soil	Classifi	ication Sys	stem		
	MAJOR DIVISIONS		GRAPHI SYMBO	USCS C LETTER L SYMBOL <sup>(1)</sup>	DE	TYPICAL ESCRIPTIONS <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	
	GRAVEL AND	CLEAN GRAVEL	0000	GW	Well-graded grav	vel; gravel/sand mixture(s); little or no f	ines
SOIL ial is size)	GRAVELLY SOIL	(Little or no fines)		GP	Poorly graded gr	avel; gravel/sand mixture(s); little or no	fines
ED 9 nater ieve	(More than 50% of	GRAVEL WITH FINES	<u> P P P P P</u>	GM	Silty gravel; grav	el/sand/silt mixture(s)	
Sof n 200 s	on No. 4 sieve)	(Appreciable amount of fines)	[]]]	GC	Clayey gravel; gr	avel/sand/clay mixture(s)	
- GR No. 3	SAND AND	CLEAN SAND		SW	Well-graded san	d; gravelly sand; little or no fines	
RSE thar than	SANDY SUIL	(Little or no fines)		SP	Poorly graded sa	and; gravelly sand; little or no fines	
COAI More arger	(More than 50% of coarse fraction passed	SAND WITH FINES		SM	Silty sand; sand/	silt mixture(s)	
0.0	through No. 4 sieve)	fines)		sc	Clayey sand; sar	nd/clay mixture(s)	
e) Man	SILT A	ND CLAY	<u>IIII</u>	ML	Inorganic silt and sand or clayey si	l very fine sand; rock flour; silty or clay It with slight plasticity	ey fine
D S 0% o ller ti e size	(Liquid limit	t less than 50)		CL	lorganic clay of clay; silty clay; le	low to medium plasticity; gravelly clay; an clay	sandy
INE an 5 sieve				OL	Organic silt; orga	anic, silty clay of low plasticity	
GRA ore th rial is	SILT A	ND CLAY		MH	Inorganic silt; mi	caceous or diatomaceous fine sand	
NE-0 NE-0 No.	(Liquid limit d	greater than 50)		СН	Inorganic clay of	high plasticity; fat clay	
<u> </u>		, , , , , , , , , , , ,		··· OH	Organic clay of n	nedium to high plasticity; organic silt	
	HIGHLY OF	RGANIC SOIL		PT	Peat; humus; sw	amp soil with high organic content	
	OTHER MAT	ERIALS	GRAPHI SYMBO	C LETTER	ТҮРІС	CAL DESCRIPTIONS	
	PAVEME	ENT	•	AC or PC	pavement or Portland cement pavement	ent	
	ROCH	<		RK	Rock (See Rock	Classification)	
	WOOI	)	<u> <u> </u></u>	WD	Wood, lumber, w	vood chips	
	DEBRI	S	6/9/9	<b>DB</b>	Construction deb	oris, garbage	
(e.g clas 2. Soil Pro Met 3. Soil as f	I., SP-SM for sand or grave sifications. descriptions are based on cedure), outlined in ASTM hod for Classification of Sc description terminology is ollows: Primary ( Secondary C Additional C density or consistency des ditions field tests, and labo	el) indicate soil with an estima the general approach preser D 2488. Where laboratory in bils for Engineering Purposes based on visual estimates (ir Constituent: > 50 onstituents: > 30% and $\leq$ 50 > 15% and $\leq$ 30 onstituents: > 5% and $\leq$ 15 $\leq$ 5 corriptions are based on judge	ated 5-15% fi ted in the St dex testing h , as outlined n the absence % - "GRAVE % - "Very gra % - "very gra % - "with gra % - "with gra % - "with tra-	ines. Multiple lette andard Practice for as been conducte in ASTM D 2487. e of laboratory test EL," "SAND," "SILT avelly," "very sand "," "sandy," "silty," avel," "with sand," ce gravel," "with tr a combination of s	r symbols (e.g., ML or Description and I d, soil classification t data) of the perce "," "CLAY," etc. y," "very silty," etc. etc. "with silt," etc. ace sand," "with tra ampler penetration	/CL) indicate borderline or multiple soil dentification of Soils (Visual-Manual is are based on the Standard Test ntages of each soil type and is defined ace silt," etc., or not noted. blow counts, drilling or excavating	
	Drilling a	nd Sampling Ke	V		Fie	Id and I ab Test Data	
	SAMPLER TYPE	SAMPLE	ر NUMBER 8	& INTERVAL			
Code         a         3.25           b         2.00         c         Shel           d         Grat         e         Sing           f         Doul         g         2.50           h         3.00         i         Othe           1         300-         2         140-	Description -inch O.D., 2.42-inch I.D. S -inch O.D., 1.50-inch I.D. S by Tube 5 Sample Ie-Tube Core Barrel ble-Tube Core Barrel -inch O.D., 2.00-inch I.D. V -inch O.D., 2.375-inch I.D. V -inch O.D., 2.375-inch I.D. S er - See text if applicable Ib Hammer, 30-inch Drop Ib Hammer, 30-inch Drop	Split Spoon Split Spoon VSDOT Mod. California	Sample Iden — Recove — Samp - Portion of for Ar	tification Number ery Depth Interval ble Depth Interval Sample Retained chive or Analysis	Code     Description       PP = 1.0     Pocket Penetrometer, tsf       r     TV = 0.5     Torvane, tsf       PID = 100     Photoionization Detector VOC screening, ppm       I     W = 10     Moisture Content, %       D = 120     Dry Density, pcf       -200 = 60     Material smaller than No. 200 sieve, %       GS     Grain Size - See separate figure for data       GT     Other Geotechnical Testing       CA     Chemical Analysis		
3 Pust	ned	a)	proximate wa	ater level at time o	f drillina (ATD)		
5 Othe	er - See text if applicable	Ξ, μ Ξ, μ Ξ, μ Ξ, μ Αρ	proximate wa	ater level at time a	fter drilling/excavat	ion/well	
		ump Station 3 Upgra and Waterfront Cer Silverdale, Washing	ades hter ton	Soil Cla	assification	System and Key	Figure <b>A-1</b>

















APPENDIX B

## **Laboratory Testing**

### APPENDIX B LABORATORY TESTING

Samples were transported to Landau Associates, Inc.'s soils laboratory for further examination and testing. Testing was performed in general accordance with the ASTM International (ASTM) standard test methods noted below. The field log descriptions were reviewed against the soil samples and updated, where appropriate, in accordance with ASTM standard test method D2487, *Standard Practice for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification System).* 

### Natural Moisture Content

Natural moisture content determinations were performed on select soil samples in accordance with ASTM standard test method D2216, *Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass.* The natural moisture content is shown as "W = xx" (i.e., percent of dry weight) in the "Test Data" column on the summary boring logs in Appendix A.

### Grain Size and Hydrometer Analysis

To provide an indication of the grain size distribution of site soils, sieve analyses were completed in accordance with ASTM standard test method D6913, *Standard Test Methods for Particle-Size Distribution (Gradation) of Soils Using Sieve Analysis.* Hydrometer analyses also were performed in accordance with ASTM standard test method D7928, *Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Distribution (Gradation) of Fine-Grained Soils Using the Sedimentation (Hydrometer) Analysis.* Samples selected for grain size and hydrometer analyses are designated with a "GS" in the "Test Data" column on the summary boring logs in Appendix A. The results of the grain size analyses are presented on Figures B-1 through B-4.

### U.S. No. 200 Wash

To assess the fines content, select soil samples were washed over a U.S. No. 200 sieve in accordance with ASTM standard test method C117, *Standard Test Method for Materials Finer Than 75-µm (No. 200) Sieve in Mineral Aggregates by Washing.* Samples selected for U.S. No. 200 washes are designated with a "-200 = xx" in the "Test Data" column on the summary boring logs in Appendix A.

## **Atterberg Limits Tests**

To provide an indication of the plasticity of fine-grained site soils, Atterberg limits tests were performed in accordance with ASTM standard test method D4318, *Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils.* Samples selected for Atterberg limits tests are designated with an "AL" in the "Test Data" column on the summary boring logs in Appendix A. The results of the Atterberg limits tests are presented on Figure B-5.









60 CL СН 50 40 Plasticity Index (PI) 30 20 10 CL-ML ML or OL MH or OH 0 L 0 20 70 10 30 40 50 60 80 90 100 110 Liquid Limit (LL)

## ATTERBERG LIMIT TEST RESULTS

Symbol	Exploration Number	Sample Number	Depth (ft)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Natural Moisture (%)	Soil Description	Unified Soil Classification
•	B-2	S-4	10.0	21	20	1	31	SILT	ML
	B-2	S-9	22.5	18	20	-2	25	SILT	ML
	B-2	S-10	25.0	19	20	-1	26	SILT	ML
*	B-4	S-5	12.5	18	20	-2	24	SILT with sand	ML
•	B-4	S-6	15.0	26	19	7	32	Clayey SILT with sand	CL-ML

ASTM D 4318 Test Method



APPENDIX C

## **Historical Subsurface Information**

Shannon & Wilson, Inc. (S&W), uses a soil classification system modified from the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Elements of the USCS and other definitions are provided on this and the following page. Soil descriptions are based on visual-manual procedures (ASTM D 2488-93) unless otherwise noted.

#### S&W CLASSIFICATION OF SOIL CONSTITUENTS

- MAJOR constituents compose more than 50 percent, by weight, of the soil. Major consituents are capitalized (i.e., SAND).
- Minor constituents compose 12 to 50 percent of the soil and precede the major constituents (i.e., silty SAND). Minor constituents preceded by "slightly" compose 5 to 12 percent of the soil (i.e., slightly silty SAND).
- Trace constituents compose 0 to 5 percent of the soil (i.e., slightly silty SAND, trace of gravel).

#### MOISTURE CONTENT DEFINITIONS

**ABBREVIATIONS** 

DryAbsence of moisture, dusty, dry<br/>to the touchMoistDamp but no visible waterWetVisible free water, from below<br/>water table

#### **GRAIN SIZE DEFINITION**

DESCRIPTION	SIEVE NUMBER AND/OR SIZE
FINES	< #200 (0.08 mm)
SAND* - Fine - Medium - Coarse	#200 to #40 (0.08 to 0.4 mm) #40 to #10 (0.4 to 2 mm) #10 to #4 (2 to 5 mm)
GRAVEL* - Fine - Coarse	#4 to 3/4 inch (5 to 19 mm) 3/4 to 3 inches (19 to 76 mm)
COBBLES	3 to 12 inches (76 to 305 mm)
BOULDERS	> 12 inches (305 mm)

\* Unless otherwise noted, sand and gravel, when present, range from fine to coarse in grain size.

#### **RELATIVE DENSITY / CONSISTENCY**

COARSE-GR	AINED SOILS	FINE-GRA	INED SOILS
N, SPT, <u>BLOWS/FT.</u>	RELATIVE <u>DENSITY</u>	N, SPT, <u>BLOWS/FT.</u>	RELATIVE CONSISTENCY
0 - 4	Very loose	Under 2	Very soft
4 - 10	Loose	2 - 4	Soft
10 - 30	Medium dense	4 - 8	Medium stiff
30 - 50	Dense	8 - 15	Stiff
Over 50	Very dense	15 - 30	Very stiff
		Over 30	Hard

#### WELL AND OTHER SYMBOLS

ATD	At Time of Drilling		Bent. Cement Grout	PAR PROP	Surfac	e Cement
Elev.	Elevation			<u> </u>	Seal	
ft	feet		Bentonite Grout		Asphal	t or Cap
FeO	Iron Oxide		Dontonito China		Claureb	
MgO	Magnesium Oxide		Bentonite Chips	4 A	Slough	
HSA	Hollow Stem Auger		Silica Sand		Bedroc	:k
ID	Inside Diameter					
in	inches		PVC Screen			
lbs	pounds		\ (is not in a \A/ing			
Mon.	Monument cover	···; ··!	vibrating wire			
Ν	Blows for last two 6-inch increments					
NA	Not applicable or not available					
NP	Non plastic					
OD	Outside diameter					
OVA	Organic vapor analyzer					
PID	Photo-ionization detector					
ppm	parts per million		r			
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride		Bay	Shore and	Washin	gton
SS	Split spoon sampler		In	provemen	ts Proje	ct
SPT	Standard penetration test		Sil	verdale, W	ashingto	on
USC	Unified soil classification					
WOH	Weight of hammer		SOIL	CLASS	IFICA	TION
WOR	Weight of drill rods		A	ND LO	G KE	Y
WLI	Water level indicator		January 2020		2	1-1-21829-010
			SHANNON & Geotechnical and Envi	WILSON,	INC.	FIG. A-1 Sheet 1 of 2

	UNIFIED ( (F	SOIL CLASSIFI	CATIO ech Me	N SYST emo 3-3	EM (USCS) 57)
	MAJOR DIVISIONS	;	GROUP/ SYN	GRAPHIC /IBOL	TYPICAL DESCRIPTION
		Clean Gravels	GW		Well-graded gravels, gravels, gravel/sand mixtures, little or no fines.
	Gravels (more than 50%	(less than 5% fines)	GP		Poorly graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines
	of coarse fraction retained on No. 4 sieve)	Gravels with Fines	GM		Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures
COARSE- GRAINED SOILS		(more than 12% fines)	GC		Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures
(more than 50% retained on No. 200 sieve)		Clean Sands	SW		Well-graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines
	Sands (50% or more of coarse fraction passes the No. 4 sieve)	(less than 5% fines)	SP		Poorly graded sand, gravelly sands, little or no fines
		Sands with Fines	SM		Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures
		(more than 12% fines)	SC		Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures
		In come is	ML		Inorganic silts of low to medium plasticity, rock flour, sandy silts, gravelly silts, or clayey silts with slight plasticity
	Silts and Clays (liquid limit less than 50)	morganic	CL		Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays
FINE-GRAINED SOILS		Organic	OL		Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity
passes the No. 200 sieve)		In come is	МН		Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sands or silty soils, elastic silt
	Silts and Clays (liquid limit 50 or more)	morganic	СН		Inorganic clays of medium to high plasticity, sandy fat clay, or gravelly fat clay
		Organic	ОН		Organic clays of medium to high plasticity, organic silts
HIGHLY- ORGANIC SOILS	Primarily organ color, and	ic matter, dark in organic odor	PT		Peat, humus, swamp soils with high organic content (see ASTM D 4427)

NOTE: No. 4 size = 5 mm; No. 200 size = 0.075 mm

BORING\_CLASS2 21-21829.GPJ SWNEW.GDT 11/11/19

NOTES

1. Dual symbols (symbols separated by a hyphen, i.e., SP-SM, slightly silty fine SAND) are used for soils with between 5% and 12% fines or when the liquid limit and plasticity index values plot in the CL-ML area of the plasticity chart.

2. Borderline symbols (symbols separated by a slash, i.e., CL/ML, silty CLAY/clayey SILT; GW/SW, sandy GRAVEL/gravelly SAND) indicate that the soil may fall into one of two possible basic groups.

Bay Shore and Washington Improvements Project Silverdale, Washington

### SOIL CLASSIFICATION AND LOG KEY

January 2020

21-1-21829-010

SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants

FIG. A-1 Sheet 2 of 2

	Total Depth:         46.25 ft.         Northing:         240,473 ft.           Top Elevation:         12.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,505 ft.           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         11+47.0A ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         17.0R	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ing M ing Co Rig E er Co	ethod: ompany Equipme mments	/: _ ent: _ s: _	Hollow Sta Boart Lon Mobile B-	em Auger gyear 59	_ Hole Diam.: _ Rod Diam.: _ Hammer Type	9 in. 
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	Water Depth, ft.	PENETRA ▲ Hammer	ATION RESIST/ Wt. & Drop: <u>1</u> 20	ANCE (blows/foot) 40 lbs / 30 inches 40 60
	- ASPHALT. Brown, trace of silt to silty, sandy, fine GRAVEL; moist to wet; scattered organics; GW/GM.	0.3		1G	11/26/2016 5:18:00 PM 년 ※※※※※※※※※※※※※※※※	2	\$		
	- Very loose at 5 feet, with abundant wood fragments.			2		6			
	- Faint anaerobic odor below 7 feet. Loose, gray, slightly silty, slightly fine gravelly SAND; wet; SP-SM.	7.7		3		8			
	Very loose to loose, brown to gray, trace to slightly gravelly, silty SAND; wet; abundant wood fragments and organics; SM.	9.5		4 5 5		10 12 14			
Typ: LKN	Hard, gray, silty CLAY, trace of sand; wet; trace of wood fragments; CL. Dense, gray, slightly fine gravelly, silty SAND;	15.0 15.5 16.5		6		16	•		•
og: AXT Rev: CIJ	Medium dense, gray, sandy SILT, trace of gravel and clay; wet; trace of sand seams, scattered wood fibers; ML. Medium dense to very dense, gray, trace of	18.0		7		18			
DT 11/12/19 1	CONTINUED NEXT SHEET <u>LEGEND</u> ★ Sample Not Recovered G Grab Sample 2.0" O.D. Split Spoon Sample 2.0" A.D. Split Spoon Sample 2.0" O.D. Split Spoon Sample 3.000 4.000 4.000 5.0000 5.0000 5.000 5.00000 5.00000 5.00000 5.00000 5.00000 5.00000 5.00000 5.000000 5.000000 5.000000000000000000000000000000000	een and e-Cemer e Chips/	Sand nt Grou Pellets	Filter ut			0 Plastic	20 ♦ % Fines (< ● % Water C Limit H ● Natural Water C	40 60 co.o75mm) Content I Liquid Limit Content
SHAN_WIL.G		Vater Le	evel in	Well			Bay Sho Impro Silvero	ore and Washin ovements Proje dale, Washingto	gton ct on
E 21-21829.GPJ	1. Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviation: 2. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified 3. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification a	s and de d and m nd selee	efinition ay vary cted la	ns. /. b testing	g.	I	LOG OF (Re <sup>v</sup>	BORING	B-1W 9)
R LOG						January	y 2020	2'	1-1-21829-010
MASTE						SHANI Geotechnic	NON & WI	LSON, INC. iental Consultants	FIG. A-2 Sheet 1 of 3

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

	Total Depth:         46.25 ft.         Northing:         240,473 ft.           Top Elevation:         12.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,505 ft.           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         11+47.0A ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         17.0R	_ Dril _ Dril _ Dril _ Oth	ling M ling Co I Rig E ler Cor	ethod: ompany: equipmer mments:	<u>Bo</u>  nt:	<u>llow Ste</u> art Long bile B-	em Auger Hole Diam.: gyear Rod Diam.: 59 Hammer Ty	<u>9 in.</u> 2-5/8" e: <u>Automatic</u>
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRATION RESIS ▲ Hammer Wt. & Drop: _ 0 20	<b>TANCE (blows/foot)</b> 140 lbs / 30 inches 40 60
	gravel to slightly gravelly, silty SAND; moist to wet; SM. - Heaved 1 foot at 18 feet.	- 28.0		8 9 10		22 24 26		50/4*4 50/5*2
	Very dense, gray, silty, gravelly SAND to silty, sandy GRAVEL; wet; SM/GM.	28.0		11		30 32 34		772
g: AXT Rev: CIJ Typ: LKN	<ul> <li>Heaved 4 inches at 35 feet.</li> <li>Very dense, gray, slightly silty, gravelly SAND; wet; SP-SM.</li> </ul>	- 38.0		12		36 38		<u></u>
Γοί	CONTINUED NEXT SHEET						0 20	40 60
SHAN_WIL.GDT 11/12/19	* Sample Not Recovered     EGEND     * Sample Not Recovered     G Grab Sample     2.0" O.D. Split Spoon Sample     E Entonit     E Entonit     G Ground     F Ground	reen and e-Ceme e Chips/ e Grout Water L	l Sand nt Grou Pellets evel in	Filter ut Well			v 20 ♦ % Fines ● % Water Plastic Limit   ● Natural Water Bay Shore and Wash Improvements Proj Silverdale, Washing	40 60 (<0.075mm) Content Liquid Limit <u>Content</u> ington ect yton
E 21-21829.GPJ 5	<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviatior 2. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specifie 3. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification a	is and d d and m and sele	efinitior ay vary cted lal	ns. /. b testing.		I	LOG OF BORING (Revised 201	6 B-1W 9)
LOG					Ja	anuary	y 2020	21-1-21829-010
MASTER					S		NON & WILSON, INC. cal and Environmental Consultants	FIG. A-2 Sheet 2 of 3

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

	Total Depth:         46.25 ft.         Northing:         240,473 ft.           Top Elevation:         12.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,505 ft.	_ Dril _ Dril	ling M ling Co	ethod: ompany:	<u>Ho</u> Bo	ollow Ste art Lon	em Auger gyear	Hole Diam.: Rod Diam.:	9 in. 2-5/8"
	Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         11+47.0A ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         17.0R	_ Dril _ Oth	l Rig E ner Cor	Equipme mments	nt: <u>Ma</u>	bile B-	59	Hammer Type	: <u>Automatic</u>
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRAT ▲ Hammer V	TION RESIST	ANCE (blows/foot) 40 lbs / 30 inches
	- Heaved 1 foot at 40 feet.			13		42			40 <u>60</u> 50/412
	- Heaved 1.5 foot at 45 feet. BOTTOM OF BORING	46.3		14		44 46			50/3*2
	COMPLETED 4/10/2013 Notes: 1. When the auger was extracted from the borehole, the soil caved and filled the borehole to approximately 5 feet below the ground					48			
	surface. The boring was redrilled to a depth of about 15.5 feet. A bentonite seal was placed from about 15 to 15.5 feet. 2. Well is constructed of 2-inch ID PVC casing and 10-slot screen, with #10/20 filter pack sand.					50 52			
						54			
CIJ Typ: LKN						56			
Log: AXT Rev:						58			
AN_WIL.GDT 11/12/19	★       Sample Not Recovered       Image: Sample Sample       Well Scr         Grab Sample       Image: Sample Sample       Bentonite         1       2.0" O.D. Split Spoon Sample       Image: Sample Sample Sample       Bentonite         Image: Sample Sam	een and e-Ceme e Chips, e Grout Water L	d Sand nt Grou /Pellets evel in	Filter ut Well			U Plastic Li Bay Shore Improve	20 ⇒ % Fines (< ● % Water ( mit   ● ● Natural Water ( a and Washin ements Proje	40 60 :0.075mm) Content I Liquid Limit Content gton ct
E 21-21829.GPJ SHA	NOTES 1. Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviation 2. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specifie 3. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification a	is and d d and m and sele	efinition nay vary cted la	ns. γ. b testing			Silverda LOG OF I (Revi	BORING	on B-1W 9)
R LOG					J	anuary	/ 2020	2	1-1-21829-010
MASTE					G	eotechnic	NON & WILS	SON, INC. tal Consultants	FIG. A-2 Sheet 3 of 3

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

Tot Top Ver Hor	Total Depth:         21.5 ft.         Northing:         241,138 ft           Top Elevation:         15.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,523           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+12.2A           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         15.1R			241,138 ft. 1,181,523 ft. 18+12.2A ft. 15.1R	_ Dri _ Dri _ Dri _ Otł	lling M lling C Il Rig E ner Co	lethod: ompany Equipme mments	 : :	ollow St part Lon obile B-	em Auger gyear 59	Hole Diam.: Rod Diam.: Hammer Type:	9 in 	<u>ı.</u> 8" natic
subs indi	Refer to the re surface materia icated below re material typ	<b>SOIL DESC</b> port text for a pr ils and drilling m present the app pes, and the tran	<b>RIPTION</b> roper understa tethods. The s proximate boun psition may be	nding of the tratification lines daries between gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	PENETRA <sup>™</sup> ▲ Hammer V	TION RESISTA Wt. & Drop: <u>1</u>	ANCE (blo 40 lbs / 30 i	ows/foot) nches60
– AS Da G\	SPHALT. ark brown, s W-GM.	slightly silty, s	andy GRA	VEL; moist;	- 0.3				2				
Br	own SAND	, trace of silt	; moist; SP.		2.5		1G		4				
Ve tra	ery loose, re ace of clay; ery loose, da	d-brown, silt wet; numero ark brown, sl	y, sandy Gl us organics lightly sandy	RAVEL, s; GM. /, elastic	- 5.0 - 6.0		2		6				
ab Mi	L I /organic oundant org H/OL/OH/P	SILT/PEAT, anics, scatte T.	trace of gra	avel; wet; ragments;			3		8	WOH:::::			wc
Lo orț	oose, gray, f ganic seam	ine sandy SI s; ML.	LT; wet; nu	merous	9.5		4		10				
Lo silt	oose, browr t partings a	, silty, fine S, nd seams, iro	AND; wet; s	scattered aining; SM.	11.2				12 14			4	
	edium dens indy SILT, t	e, gray, sligh race of clay;	ntly fine san wet; ML.	dy to fine	- 16.0				16				
		CONTINUED	NEXT SHEET	<u> </u>			]]			0	20	40	60
3DT 11/13/19		* s G G T 2	LEGENI Sample Not Re Grab Sample 2.0" O.D. Split :	<u>D</u> ecovered Spoon Sample						Plastic L	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (</li> <li>● % Water C</li> <li>imit I</li> <li>■</li> <li>Natural Water C</li> </ul>	0.075mm) Content Liquid Lir	nit
J SHAN_WIL.			NOTES	3						Bay Shore Improv Silverda	e and Washin ements Projec ale, Washingto	gton ct on	
E 21-21829.GP 7 3.	NOTES 1. Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviation 2. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specification 3. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification					lefinitio nay var ected la	ns. y. Ib testing			LOG OF (Rev	BORING	i B-3 ))	
LOG								J	anuar	y 2020	21	I-1-21829	-010
MASTER								S	<b>SHANI</b> eotechnic	NON & WILS	SON, INC. tal Consultants	FIG. A Sheet 1 o	<b>-4</b> of 2

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal



REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

Shannon & Wilson, Inc. (S&W), uses a soil identification system modified from the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Elements of the USCS and other definitions are provided on this and the following pages. Soil descriptions are based on visual-manual procedures (ASTM D2488) and laboratory testing procedures (ASTM D2487), if performed.

#### S&W INORGANIC SOIL CONSTITUENT DEFINITIONS

CONSTITUENT <sup>2</sup>	FINE-GRAINED SOILS (50% or more fines) <sup>1</sup>	COARSE-GRAINED SOILS (less than 50% fines) <sup>1</sup>					
Major	Silt, Lean Clay, Elastic Silt, or Fat Clay <sup>3</sup>	Sand or Gravel <sup>4</sup>					
Modifying (Secondary) Precedes major constituent	30% or more coarse-grained: <b>Sandy</b> or <b>Gravelly</b> ⁴	More than 12% fine-grained: <i>Silty</i> or <i>Clayey</i> <sup>3</sup>					
Minor	15% to 30% coarse-grained: <i>with Sand</i> or <i>with Gravel</i> <sup>4</sup>	5% to 12% fine-grained: <i>with Silt</i> or <i>with Clay</i> <sup>3</sup>					
Follows major constituent	30% or more total coarse-grained and lesser coarse- grained constituent is 15% or more: with Sand or with Gravel <sup>5</sup>	15% or more of a second coarse- grained constituent: <i>with Sand</i> or <i>with Gravel</i> <sup>5</sup>					
<sup>1</sup> All percentages are by weight of total specimen passing a 3-inch sieve. <sup>2</sup> The order of terms is: <i>Modifying Major with Minor</i> . <sup>3</sup> Determined based on behavior.							

<sup>4</sup>Determined based on which constituent comprises a larger percentage.
<sup>5</sup>Whichever is the lesser constituent.

#### MOISTURE CONTENT TERMS

Dry	Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch						
Moist	Damp but no visible water						

Wet Visible free water, from below water table

#### STANDARD PENETRATION TEST (SPT) SPECIFICATIONS

Hammer:	140 pounds with a 30-inch free fall. Rope on 6- to 10-inch-diam. cathead 2-1/4 rope turns, > 100 rpm				
	NOTE: If automatic hammers are used, blow counts shown on boring logs should be adjusted to account for efficiency of hammer.				
Sampler:	10 to 30 inches long Shoe I.D. = 1.375 inches Barrel I.D. = 1.5 inches Barrel O.D. = 2 inches				
N-Value:	Sum blow counts for second and third 6-inch increments. Refusal: 50 blows for 6 inches or less; 10 blows for 0 inches.				
NOTE: Pen bori hav effic	etration resistances (N-values) shown on ng logs are as recorded in the field and e not been corrected for hammer iency, overburden, or other factors.				

	PARTICLE SIZ	E DEFIN	ITIONS			
DESCRIPTIO	N SIEVE NUMBER	R AND/OR	APPROXIMATE SIZE			
FINES	< #200 (0.075	mm = 0.0	003 in.)			
SAND	#200 to #40 (0	075 to 0	4 mm; 0,003 to 0,02 in )			
Medium Coarse	#40 to #10 (0.4 #10 to #4 (2 to	to 2 mm 4.75 mm	r; 0.02 to 0.08 in.) r; 0.08 to 0.187 in.)			
GRAVEL Fine Coarse	#4 to 3/4 in. (4 3/4 to 3 in. (19	.75 to 19 to 76 mn	mm; 0.187 to 0.75 in.) n)			
COBBLES	3 to 12 in. (76	to 305 mr	n)			
BOULDER	6 > 12 in. (305 m	ım)				
F	RELATIVE DENSI	TY / CON	ISISTENCY			
COHESIO	NLESS SOILS		COHESIVE SOILS			
N, SPT, BLOWS/FT.	RELATIVE DENSITY	N, S <u>BLOV</u>	SPT, RELATIVE VS/FT. CONSISTENCY			
< 4	Very loose		< 2 Very soft			
4 - 10	Loose	2	- 4 Soft			
10 - 30 30 - 50	Nedium dense	8	- 6 Medium sun			
> 50	Verv dense	15 -	. 30 Verv stiff			
		>	30 Hard			
	WELL AND BAC	KFILL S	YMBOLS			
Be Ce	ntonite ment Grout	Vare Var Vare Var	Surface Cement Seal			
Ве	ntonite Grout		Asphalt or Cap			
Be	ntonite Chips		Slough			
Sil	ca Sand		Inclinometer or Non-perforated Casing			
	rforated or reened Casing		Vibrating Wire Piezometer			
	PERCENTAG	<b>SES TER</b>	MS <sup>1, 2</sup>			
Tra	ce		< 5%			
Fe	N		5 to 10%			
Litt	le		15 to 25%			
Sor	ne		30 to 45%			
IVIOS	suy		30 10 100%			

<sup>2</sup>Reprinted, with permission, from ASTM D2488 - 09a Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure), copyright ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428. A copy of the complete standard may be obtained from ASTM International, www.astm.org.

> Bay Shore and Washington Improvements Project Silverdale, Washington

### SOIL DESCRIPTION AND LOG KEY

January 2020

21-1-21829-010

SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants FIG. A-9 Sheet 1 of 3

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (USCS) (Modified From USACE Tech Memo 3-357, ASTM D2487, and ASTM D2488)								
	MAJOR DIVISIONS		GROUP/	GRAPHIC IBOL	TYPICAL IDENTIFICATIONS			
		Gravel	GW	X	Well-Graded Gravel; Well-Graded Gravel with Sand			
	Gravels (more than 50%	(less than 5% fines)	GP		Poorly Graded Gravel; Poorly Graded Gravel with Sand			
	of coarse fraction retained on No. 4 sieve)	Silty or Clayey Gravel	GM		Silty Gravel; Silty Gravel with Sand			
COARSE- GRAINED SOILS		(more than 12% fines)	GC		Clayey Gravel; Clayey Gravel with Sand			
(more than 50% retained on No. 200 sieve)	Sands (50% or more of coarse fraction passes the No. 4 sieve)	Sand	SW		Well-Graded Sand; Well-Graded Sand with Gravel			
		(less than 5% fines)	SP		Poorly Graded Sand; Poorly Graded Sand with Gravel			
		Silty or Clayey Sand	SM		Silty Sand; Silty Sand with Gravel			
		(more than 12% fines)	SC		Clayey Sand; Clayey Sand with Gravel			
		Increania	ML		Silt; Silt with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Silt			
	Silts and Clays ( <i>liquid limit less</i> <i>than 50</i> )	morganic	CL		Lean Clay; Lean Clay with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Lean Clay			
FINE-GRAINED SOILS		Organic	OL		Organic Silt or Clay; Organic Silt or Clay with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Organic Silt or Clay			
passes the No. 200 sieve)		Increania	МН		Elastic Silt; Elastic Silt with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Elastic Silt			
	Silts and Clays (liquid limit 50 or more)	inorganic	СН		Fat Clay; Fat Clay with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Fat Clay			
		Organic	он		Organic Silt or Clay; Organic Silt or Clay with Sand or Gravel; Sandy or Gravelly Organic Silt or Clay			
HIGHLY- ORGANIC SOILS	Primarily organi color, and c	c matter, dark in organic odor	РТ		Peat or other highly organic soils (see ASTM D4427)			

NOTE: No. 4 size = 4.75 mm = 0.187 in.; No. 200 size = 0.075 mm = 0.003 in.

#### <u>NOTES</u>

- 1. Dual symbols (symbols separated by a hyphen, i.e., SP-SM, Sand with Silt) are used for soils with between 5% and 12% fines or when the liquid limit and plasticity index values plot in the CL-ML area of the plasticity chart. Graphics shown on the logs for these soil types are a combination of the two graphic symbols (e.g., SP and SM).
- 2. Borderline symbols (symbols separated by a slash, i.e., CL/ML, Lean Clay to Silt; SP-SM/SM, Sand with Silt to Silty Sand) indicate that the soil properties are close to the defining boundary between two groups.

Bay Shore and Washington Improvements Project Silverdale, Washington

### SOIL DESCRIPTION AND LOG KEY

January 2020

21-1-21829-010

SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants FIG. A-9 Sheet 2 of 3

	GRADATION TERMS						
Poorly Graded	Narrow range of grain sizes present the range of grain sizes present, or sizes are missing (Gap Graded). M in ASTM D2487, if tested. Full range and even distribution of g present. Meets criteria in ASTM D tested.	t or, within he or more Aeets criteria grain sizes 2487, if					
	CEMENTATION TERMS <sup>1</sup>						
Weak	Crumbles or breaks with handling of	or slight					
Moderate	Crumbles or breaks with considera	ble finger					
Strong	pressure. Will not crumble or break with finge	er pressure.					
	<b>PLASTICITY<sup>2</sup></b>						
APPROX. PLASITICITY DESCRIPTION VISUAL-MANUAL CRITERIA INDEX RANGE							
		RANGE					
Nonplastic	A 1/8-in. thread cannot be rolled at	RANGE < 4					
Nonplastic Low	A 1/8-in. thread cannot be rolled at any water content. A thread can barely be rolled and a lump cannot be formed when dright then the plastic limit	<b>RANGE</b> < 4 4 to 10					
Nonplastic Low Medium	A 1/8-in. thread cannot be rolled at any water content. A thread can barely be rolled and a lump cannot be formed when drier than the plastic limit. A thread is easy to roll and not	<b>RANGE</b> < 4 4 to 10 10 to 20					
Nonplastic Low Medium High	A 1/8-in. thread cannot be rolled at any water content. A thread can barely be rolled and a lump cannot be formed when drier than the plastic limit. A thread is easy to roll and not much time is required to reach the plastic limit. The thread cannot be rerolled after reaching the plastic limit. A lump crumbles when drier than the plastic limit. It takes considerable time rolling and kneading to reach the plastic limit. A thread can be rerolled several times after reaching the plastic limit. A lump can be formed without crumbling when drier than the plastic limit.	<b>RANGE</b> < 4 4 to 10 10 to 20 > 20					
Nonplastic Low Medium High	A 1/8-in. thread cannot be rolled at any water content. A thread can barely be rolled and a lump cannot be formed when drier than the plastic limit. A thread is easy to roll and not much time is required to reach the plastic limit. The thread cannot be rerolled after reaching the plastic limit. A lump crumbles when drier than the plastic limit. It takes considerable time rolling and kneading to reach the plastic limit. A thread can be rerolled several times after reaching the plastic limit. A lump can be formed without crumbling when drier than the plastic limit.	<b>RANGE</b> < 4 4 to 10 10 to 20 > 20					

Bioturbated Soil disturbance or mixing by plants or animals. Nonsorted sediment; sand and gravel in silt Diamict and/or clay matrix. Material brought to surface by drilling.

Cuttings Material that caved from sides of borehole. Slough Disturbed texture, mix of strengths.

#### PARTICLE ANGULARITY AND SHAPE TERMS

Angular	Sharp edges and unpolished planar surfaces.
Subangular	Similar to angular, but with rounded edges.
Subrounded	Nearly planar sides with well-rounded edges.
Rounded	Smoothly curved sides with no edges.
Flat	Width/thickness ratio > 3.
Elongated	Length/width ratio > 3.

<sup>1</sup>Reprinted, with permission, from ASTM D2488 - 09a Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure), copyright ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428. A copy of the complete standard may be obtained from ASTM International, www.astm.org.

<sup>2</sup>Adapted, with permission, from ASTM D2488 - 09a Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure), copyright ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428. A copy of the complete standard may be obtained from ASTM International, www.astm.org.

#### ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

	At Time of Drilling
Diam	Diameter
Diam.	
Elev.	
π.	
FeO	
gal.	Gallons
Horiz.	Horizontal
HSA	Hollow Stem Auger
I.D.	Inside Diameter
in.	Inches
lbs.	Pounds
MgO	Magnesium Oxide
mm	Millimeter
MnO	Manganese Oxide
NA	Not Applicable or Not Available
NP	Nonplastic
O.D.	Outside Diameter
OW	Observation Well
pcf	Pounds per Cubic Foot
PID	Photo-Ionization Detector
PMT	Pressuremeter Test
ppm	Parts per Million
psi	Pounds per Square Inch
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
rpm	Rotations per Minute
SPT	Standard Penetration Test
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
$\mathbf{q}_{u}$	Unconfined Compressive Strength
VWP	Vibrating Wire Piezometer
Vert.	Vertical
WOH	Weight of Hammer
WOR	Weight of Rods
Wt.	Weight
	STRUCTURE TERMS <sup>1</sup>

Interbedded	Alternating layers of varying material or color with layers at least 1/4-inch thick; singular: bed.
Laminated	Alternating layers of varying material or color with layers less than 1/4-inch thick; singular: lamination.
Fissured	Breaks along definite planes or fractures with little resistance.
Slickensided	Fracture planes appear polished or glossy; sometimes striated.
Blocky	Cohesive soil that can be broken down into small angular lumps that resist further breakdown.
Lensed	Inclusion of small pockets of different soils, such as small lenses of sand scattered through a mass of clay.
lomogeneous	Same color and appearance throughout.

Bay Shore and Washington Improvements Project Silverdale, Washington

### SOIL DESCRIPTION AND LOG KEY

January 2020

21-1-21829-010

SHANNON & WILSON, INC. Geotechnical and Environmental Consultants

FIG. A-9 Sheet 3 of 3

	Total Depth:         40 ft.         Northing:         241,147 ft.           Top Elevation:         15.4 ft.         Easting:         1,181,494 ft.           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+20.2A ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         13.4L	Drilling Method:     Drilling Company:     Drill Rig Equipment:     Other Comments:			Sonic Core Holt Services Terra Sonic TSi 150C			reHole Diam.:6 in. icesRod Diam.: nic TSi 150CC Hammer Type:	_							
ľ	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.			Samples	Ţ	Ground Water		Depth, ft.	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (&lt;0.075mm)</li> <li>● % Water Content</li> <li>0</li> <li>20</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	60						
	Asphalt.	0.8			12:00 PM											
	Brown, <i>Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)</i> to <i>Silty</i> <i>Gravel with Sand (GM)</i> ; moist; angular to	1.7			/2019 10:4		477 477 47									
	nonplastic; trace debris.	1.7			6/3/		⊥ Ţ	2								
	Light gray-brown, <i>Poorly Graded Sand with Silt</i> ( <i>SP-SM</i> ); moist; fine to medium sand; nonplastic. (Ha)						/4/2019 10:37:00 AM	4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
ł	- Wet below 4.7 feet. Brown, <i>Poorly Graded Sand with Gravel (SP</i> );	5.0					6									
	wet; subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic.							6		 						
	(Ha) -6-inch layer at 6 feet of <i>Poorly Graded Sand</i> with Silt (SP-SM).	80		2 <b>G</b>				8								
	Brown, interbedded <i>Poorly Graded Sand with</i> <i>Silt (SP-SM)</i> and <i>Silty Sand (SM)</i> ; wet; trace subrounded gravel; fine to medium sand; nonplastic. (Qvro) - Iron oxide-stained from 8 to 9.5 feet.			зG				10								
Typ: LKN	Brown and orange-brown, <i>Poorly Graded Sand</i> ( <i>SP</i> ); wet; trace fine, subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic; iron oxide-stained. (Qvro)	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		4G				12	♦ ●	
Rev: EAS	Brown and orange-brown, <i>Silty Sand (SM</i> ); wet; fine to medium sand; nonplastic; iron oxide-stained	13.0						14								
Log: PVH	_ (Qvro)	14.5														
.GDT 11/12/19	CONTINUED NEXT SHEET LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered Grab Sample Mell Screen and Sand Filter Bentonite-Cement Grout Bentonite Chips/Pellets Bentonite Grout Ground Water Level in Well Ground Water Level in VWP NOTES 1. Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviations and definitions. 2. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified and may vary. 3. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification and selected lab testing.								0 20 40	60						
J SHAN WIL							_	_	Bay Shore and Washington Improvements Project Silverdale, Washington							
E 21-21829.GP.								LO	OG OF BORING B-8WV-19							
ER_LOG_I							Jani	Jary	y 2020 21-1-21829-010							
MASTE							SH/ Geote	ANN chnic	NON & WILSON, INC.         FIG. A-10           cal and Environmental Consultants         Sheet 1 of 4							

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

	Total Depth:         40 ft.         Northing:         241,147 ft.           Top Elevation:         15.4 ft.         Easting:         1,181,494 ft.           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+20.2A ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         13.4L		147 ft.Drilling Method:1,494 ft.Drilling Company:0.2A ft.Drill Rig Equipment:3.4LOther Comments:			<u>S</u>  nt:	Sonic Cor Iolt Servi Terra Son	re Hole Diam.: ices Rod Diam.: nic TSi 150CC Hammer Ty	6 in		
ľ	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.				Depth, ft. Symbol Samples		Ground Water	Depth, ft.	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (&lt;0.075mm)</li> <li>● % Water Content</li> </ul>		
-	Gray, <i>Sandy S</i> fine sand; nor fines; rapid dil (Qvrl)	Silt (ML) to S pplastic with atancy; trace	<i>Silty Sand (S</i> seams of lo e organics.	<i>M</i> ); wet; w plasticity			5 G		16		
-	Gray <i>Silt (ML)</i> sand; low to n silty sand sear (Qpnl)	and <i>Lean C</i> nedium plasi ms.	Clay (CL); we ticity; lamina	et; few fine ited; few	17.0				18		
	Gray, <i>Silty Sa</i> _ sand; nonplas \(Qpnf)	Gray, <i>Silty Sand (SM</i> ); wet; fine to medium sand; nonplastic. (Qpnf) Gray, <i>Poorly Graded Gravel with Silt and Sand</i> ( <i>GP-GM</i> ) to <i>Silty Gravel with Sand (GM</i> ); wet; subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic; few diamict pockets. (Qpgo)	dium	· 19.8 · 20.5		6G		20			
	Gray, <i>Poorly C</i> ( <i>GP-GM</i> ) to S subrounded g nonplastic; few (Qpgo)		rel with Silt a vith Sand (Gi o coarse sar ockets.	and Sand M); wet; nd;					22		
								24			
Typ: LKN	Gray, <i>Poorly</i> ( subrounded g nonplastic.	<i>Graded Sanc</i> gravel; fine to	d (SP); wet; o coarse sar	trace fine, nd;	· 26.5 · 27.2		8		26		
Log: PVH Rev: EAS	(Qpgo) Gray, Silty Sa with Silt and G to rounded gr nonplastic.	nd (SM) to F Gravel (SP-S ravel; fine to	Poorly Grade M); wet; sub coarse sand	ed Sand prounded d;					28		
GDT 11/12/19	CONTINUED NEXT SHEET LEGEND * Sample Not Recovered Grab Sample * Grab Sample * Sample * Sample * Sample * Sample * Well Screen and S Bentonite-Cement * Bentonite Chips/Pe * Bentonite Grout * Ground Water Leve * Ground Water Leve * Ground Water Leve * Ground Water Leve * Sample * Sample					l San nt Gro Pelle	d Filter out ts			0 20	40 60
9.GPJ SHAN WIL						evel i evel i efiniti	n Well n VWP ons.			Bay Shore and Wash Improvements Pro Silverdale, Washin	nington ject gton
3 E 21-2182						ay va cted l	iry. ab testing		LO	OG OF BORING E	8-8WV-19
MASTER_LOC									January SHANN Geotechnic	y 2020 NON & WILSON, INC.	21-1-21829-010 FIG. A-10 Sheet 2 of 4

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal
	Total Depth:         40 ft.         Northing:         241,147 ft.           Top Elevation:         15.4 ft.         Easting:         1,181,494 ft.           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+20.2A ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         13.4L	Dril Dril Dril Oth	ling l ling ( I Rig ler C	Method: Company: Equipmer	<u></u>  nt:	onic Core olt Servic erra Son	e Hole Diam.: <u>ces</u> Rod Diam.: <u>ic TSi 150CC</u> Hammer Type	<u> </u>
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Svmbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (·</li> <li>● % Water (</li> <li>0</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	<0.075mm) Content 40 60
-	(Qpgo) - Stiffer drilling from 30 to 40 feet. Gray, <i>Poorly Graded Sand with Silt (SP-SM)</i> ; wet; trace subrounded gravel; fine to medium sand; nonplastic. (Qpgo)	30.5		° °G		32		
-	Dark gray, <i>Silty Sand with Gravel (SM</i> ); moist; diamict; subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic to low plasticity; diamict. (Qpgd)	- 35.0		10		34		
-	subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to medium sand; low plasticity; trace organics. (QpnI) Dark gray, interbedded <i>Silty Sand with Gravel</i> ( <i>SM</i> ) and <i>Poorly Graded Sand</i> ( <i>SP</i> ); wet;	- 36.5		11G		36		
-	subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic to low plasticity. (Qpnf) Dark gray, <i>Silty Gravel with Sand (GM</i> ); moist; subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand: low plasticity: diamict	- 39.0 - 40.0		12 12		40		
Typ: LKN	BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED 8/27/2019					42		
Log: PVH Rev: EAS	<ol> <li>Potholed to 5 feet on 8/26/2019.</li> <li>During well installation, heaved to 25 feet when casing was pulled from 34 to 33 feet.</li> <li>VWP is Geokon Model 4500S-350kPa, S/N 1927039.</li> <li>Well is constructed of 2-inch ID PVC casing</li> </ol>					44		
IL.GDT 11/12/19	CONTINUED NEXT SHEET <u>LEGEND</u> * Sample Not Recovered G Grab Sample Bentoni Bentoni Bentoni	te-Ceme te Chips/ te Grout	I San nt Gr Pelle	nd Filter rout ets			0 20	40 60
1-21829.GPJ SHAN_WI	<ul> <li>Ground</li> <li>Ground</li> <li>MOTES</li> <li>Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviation</li> <li>Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specific</li> <li>USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification</li> </ul>	water L Water L ns and de ed and m and sele	evel i evel i efiniti ay va cted	in VVeII in VWP ions. ary. lab testing.		LO	Bay Shore and Washir Improvements Proje Silverdale, Washingt	igton ct on <b>8WV-19</b>
LOG E 2				5	J	anuary	2020 2	1-1-21829-010
MASTER					S	eotechnic	NON & WILSON, INC. al and Environmental Consultants	FIG. A-10 Sheet 3 of 4

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

	Total Depth:40 ft.NorthinTop Elevation:15.4 ft.EastingVert. Datum:NAVD88Station:	g: <u>241,147 ft.</u> : <u>1,181,494 ft.</u> <u>18+20.2A ft.</u>	Drill Drill Drill	ing Me ing Co Rig E	ethod: ompany: Equipmer	<u></u>	nic Coro t Servio ra Son	e ces ic TSi 150CC	Hole Diam.: Rod Diam.: Hammer Type	6 in
	Horiz. Datum: <u>NAD 83</u> Offset: <b>SOIL DESCRIPTION</b> Refer to the report text for a proper under subsurface materials and drilling methods. Th indicated below represent the approximate be material types, and the transition may	13.4L standing of the e stratification lines oundaries between be gradual.	Depth, ft.	er Cor IoquuS	nments: Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	0	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (</li> <li>● % Water (</li> </ul>	<0.075mm) Content
-	and 30-slot screen, with #12/20 filt 5. Shallowest VWP groundwater re- measured on 9/3-9/6/2019 was 2.6 (9/4/2019). 6. Shallowest well groundwater rea maccurred on 9/2 0/6/2010 and 0/2	er pack sand. eading 6 feet deep iding					46			
	was -0.6 feet deep (artesian, 9/3/20	019).					48			
							50			
							52			
							56			
Rev: EAS Typ: LKN							58			
19 Log: PVH	▲ Sample Not Recovered	<u>END</u> 	en and	Sand	Filter			0	20	40 60
J SHAN_WIL.GDT 11/12/1	G Grab Sample	Bentonite Bentonite Bentonite COM Cound V Cound V	-Cemer Chips/l Grout /ater Le /ater Le	nt Grou Pellets evel in evel in	ut ; Well VWP			Bay Shor Improv Silverd	e and Washir /ements Proje ale, Washingt	igton ct on
G_E 21-21829.GF	<ol> <li>Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols</li> <li>Groundwater level, if indicated above, is</li> <li>USCS designation is based on visual-m</li> </ol>	, codes, abbreviations for the date specified anual classification ar	and de and mand and selec	finitior ay vary ted lat	ns. /. b testing.		LO	G OF B	DRING B-	8WV-19
ASTER_LO						Ja SI Ge	nuary	V 2020	2 SON, INC. ntal Consultants	1-1-21829-010 FIG. A-10 Sheet 4 of 4

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

	Total Depth:         15.1 ft.         Northing:         241,145 ft.           Top Elevation:         15.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,523 ft.           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+19.14 ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         15.3R	_ Dril _ Dril _ Dril _ Dril	ling Me ling Co I Rig E ler Cor	ethod: ompany quipment	y: ent: s:	Soni Holt Terr	i <u>c Cor</u> Servi a Sor	re Hole Diam.: <u>6 in.</u> ices Rod Diam.: <i>nic TSi 150CC</i> Hammer Type:
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples		Water	Depth, ft.	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (&lt;0.075mm)</li> <li>● % Water Content</li> <li>0</li> <li>20</li> <li>40</li> <li>60</li> </ul>
ľ	Asphalt.	03			2	a de la		
	Brown, <i>Poorly Graded Sand with Gravel</i> ( <i>SP-SM</i> ); moist; subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic; trace roots. (Hf)	1.0						
	Gray-brown, <i>Silty Gravel with Sand (GM)</i> ; moist; angular to rounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic; few asphalt chunks; trace fine roots; slight asphalt odor. (Hf)			1			2	
ľ	Brown, Poorly Graded Gravel with Sand and	3.5						
	<i>Cobbles (GP)</i> ; moist; subrounded to rounded cobbles; subrounded to rounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic. (Hf)				19 10:22:00 AM		4	
	Dark brown <i>Peat (PT)</i> ; moist to wet; trace subrounded gravel; few fine to medium sand; low plasticity; mostly organics. (Hp)	5.0		2 G	9/3/20		6	
TKN	Gray-brown <i>Silt (ML)</i> to <i>Elastic Silt (MH)</i> ; moist to wet; trace subrounded gravel; few sand; low to medium plasticity; little organics.	8.0		зG			8	
VH Rev: EAS Typ:	Light gray <i>Silt (ML)</i> and <i>Sandy Silt (ML)</i> ; wet; few to some fine sand; nonplastic; trace organics. (HI)			4	****			
Log: P	- Iron-oxidized at 9.5 feet.	9.8						
/IL.GDT 11/12/19	CONTINUED NEXT SHEET <u>LEGEND</u> * Sample Not Recovered Grab Sample E Grab Sample E Bentonite E Bentonite E Ground V	een and e-Ceme e Chips/ e Grout Vater I o	l Sand nt Grou Pellets evel in	Filter ut Well				0 20 40 60
HAN M								Improvements Project
E 21-21829.GPJ SF	<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviations 2. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified 3. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification a	s and de I and m nd sele	efinitior ay vary cted lal	ns. /. b testing	g.		L	Silverdale, Washington
SOL 2						Jai	nuary	y 2020 21-1-21829-010
MASTEF						SH Geo	technic	NON & WILSON, INC.         FIG. A-11           cal and Environmental Consultants         Sheet 1 of 2

	Total Depth:         15.1 ft.         Northing:         241,145 ft.           Top Elevation:         15.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,523           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+19.14 ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         15.3 ft.		_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ing M ing Co Rig E er Co	ethod: ompany: Equipme mments	<u>Sonic Core</u> Holt Services Ent: <u>Terra Sonic TSi 150C</u> S:		e ces ic TSi 150CC	Hole Diam.: Rod Diam.: Hammer Type	6 in.
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper under subsurface materials and drilling methods. Th indicated below represent the approximate bc material types, and the transition may	standing of the e stratification lines undaries between be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.		> % Fines (< % Water C	0.075mm) Content
-	Brown, <i>Silty Sand (SM</i> ); wet; few fir subangular gravel; fine to medium s nonplastic fines; trace organics. (Qvro)	ne, sand;			5				20	40 00
-	Brown, <i>Poorly Graded Sand (SP)</i> ; w rounded gravel; fine to medium sar nonplastic. (Qvro)	vet; few nd;	12.0		6		12			
-	Mottled light gray and brown <i>Silt (M</i> (Qvrl) Yellow-brown, <i>Silty Sand (SM</i> ); wet nonplastic; iron oxide staining. (Qvro)	<i>(L)</i> ; wet.	13.2		7G		14			
	Yellow-brown, <i>Sandy Silt (ML)</i> ; wet nonplastic; iron oxide staining. \(Qvrl) BOTTOM OF BORING	; fine sand;	14.5		8					
Typ: LKN	COMPLETED 8/27/20 Notes: 1. Potholed to 5 feet on 8/26/2019. 2. Well is constructed of 2-inch ID F and 30-slot screen, with #12/20 filte 3. Shallowest well groundwater rea measured on 9/3-9/6/2019 and 9/9 was 3.9 feet deep (9/3/2019).	PVC casing er pack sand. ding -9/10/2019					16			
Log: PVH Rev: EAS							-			40 00
VIL.GDT 11/12/19	LEGE ★ Sample Not Recovered [☐ Grab Sample	Image: Stress of the sector	een and e-Cemer e Chips/ e Grout Water Le	Sand nt Grou Pellets evel in	Filter ut Well	<b></b>		Bay Shore	20	40 60
29.GPJ SHAN M	NOT 1. Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols,	ES codes, abbreviation	s and de	efinitio	ns.			Improve Silverdal	ements Projecter, Washingto	ct on
3 E 21-218.	3. USCS designation is based on visual-ma	anual classification a	nd seled	ay vary cted la	y. b testing		LC	DG OF BC	ORING B	-9W-19
MASTER_LO(							January SHANN Geotechnic	V 2020	22 SON, INC.	I-1-21829-010 FIG. A-11 Sheet 2 of 2

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

	Total Depth:         40 ft.         Northing:         241,155 ft.           Top Elevation:         15.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,523 ft.           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+28.7A ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         14.7R	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Drill Oth	ling Me ling Co I Rig E ier Cor	ethod: ompany quipme mments	/: ent: s:	Sonic Cor Holt Servi Terra Son	e ces iic TSi 150CC	Hole Diam.: Rod Diam.: Hammer Type	12 in	
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	vvater Depth, ft.	0	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (</li> <li>◆ % Water C</li> </ul>	:0.075mm) Content	
	Asphalt. Brown Poorly Graded Sand with Silt and Gravel	0.5			¥ Md				40 00	
	( <i>SP-SM</i> ) to <i>Silty Sand with Gravel (SM</i> ); moist; subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic; trace roots and wood. (Hf) Brown, <i>Silty Gravel with Cobbles and Sand</i> ( <i>GM</i> ); moist; some angular cobbles;	2.0		1G	9/4/2019 10:11:00	2				
	subrounded to rounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic; few bricks. (Hf)	5.0				4				
	( <i>OL</i> ); moist to wet; subrounded to rounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; low plasticity; some organics. (Hp)	7.0				6				
	Dark brown <i>Gravelly Peat with Sand (PT)</i> ; wet; fine, subangular to rounded gravel; fine to medium sand; low plasticity; mostly organics. (Hp)			²Ġ		8				
	Gray <i>Silt with Sand (ML)</i> ; wet; fine sand; nonplastic to low plasticity; rapid dilatency. (Hl/Qvrl) - Yellow-brown below 10 feet.	9.5		3G		10				
lev: EAS Typ: LKN	Yellow-brown, <i>Silty Sand (SM)</i> ; wet; few subrounded gravel; fine to medium sand; nonplastic; slightly iron oxide-stained. (Qvro)			4		12				
Log: PVH F	- 2-inch layer of orange-brown, poorly graded sand at about 14 feet.					14				
GDT 11/12/19	CONTINUED NEXT SHEET      LEGEND      Sample Not Recovered      Grab Sample      Grab Sample      Dentonite      Dentonit	een and e-Ceme e Chips/ e Grout	l Sand nt Grou Pellets	Filter ut			0	20	40 60	
GPJ SHAN_WIL.	Ground V NOTES 1. Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviation	Vater Lo s and de	evel in efinitior	Well ns.			Bay Shoi Impro Silverd	re and Washin vements Proje ale, Washingto	gton ct on	
E 21-21829.	<ol> <li>Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified</li> <li>USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification a</li> </ol>	d and m nd sele	ay vary cted lal	/. b testing	g.	LO	g of Bo	DRING B-1	NG B-10PW-19	
STER_LOG						January SHANN	/ 2020	2 <sup>-</sup> SON, INC.	1-1-21829-010 FIG. A-12	
Ψ						Geotechnic		antai Consultants	Sheet 1 of 4	

	Total Depth:         40 ft.         Northing:         241,155 ft.           Top Elevation:         15.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,523 ft.           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+28.7A ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         14.7R	Dril Dril Dril Oth	ling ling l Riq ner (	Metho Compa g Equip Comme	l: iny: ment: nts:	<u>Sor</u> Hol Ter	nic Coro t Servio ra Son	e Hole Diam.: <u>ces</u> Rod Diam.: <u>ic TSi 150CC</u> Hammer Type:	12 in.
ľ	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Cymhol	Samples		Ground Water	Depth, ft.	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (&lt;0.07)</li> <li>● % Water Cont</li> <li>0</li> <li>20</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	(5mm) tent 0 60
	Gray <i>Silt (ML)</i> ; wet; few fine sand; nonplastic; rapid dilatency. (Qvrl)	- 17.0		5			16 18		
-	- Low plasticity below about 19.5 feet. Gray, interbedded <i>Silt (ML)</i> and <i>Lean Clay (CL)</i> ; moist; trace fine sand; low to medium plasticity. (Qpnl)	- 20.0		6			20		
	Gray, <i>Silty Sand (SM</i> ); wet; fine to medium sand; nonplastic. (Qpnf)	22.5		7			24		
KN	Gray, Poorly Graded Sand with Silt and Gravel (SP-SM) to Poorly Graded Gravel with Silt, Sand, and Cobbles (GP-GM); wet; few cobbles; subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic. (Qpgo)	24.0		8			24		
g: PVH Rev: EAS Typ: L				9			28	•	
ροί	CONTINUED NEXT SHEET							0 20 40	0 60
E 21-21829.GPJ SHAN WIL.GDT 11/12/19	LEGEND         ★ Sample Not Recovered       Image: Well Science         Grab Sample       Image: Simple       Bentoni         Image: Simple Simple       Image: Simple Simple       Image: Simple Sim	te-Ceme te Chips, te Grout Water L ns and d ed and m and sele	d Sa nt G /Pell evel efini nay v cted	nd Filter Grout lets in Well itions. /ary. I lab tes	ing.		LO	Bay Shore and Washingtor Improvements Project Silverdale, Washington	n PW-19
ER LOG						Ja	nuary		21829-010
MASTE						Ge	HANN otechnic	al and Environmental Consultants	FIG. A-12 Sheet 2 of 4

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal



REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

	Total Depth:         Top Elevation:         Vert. Datum:         Moriz. Datum:	40 ft. 15.3 ft. IAVD88 VAD 83	Northing: _ Easting: _ Station: _ Offset: _	241,155 ft. 1,181,523 ft. 18+28.7A ft. 14.7R	Drilli Drilli Drilli Othe	ing Me ing Co Rig E er Cor	ethod: ompany: Equipmer mments:	<u>So</u> <u></u> nt:	onic Coro olt Servio erra Son	e ces ic TSi 150CC	Hole Diam.: Rod Diam.: Hammer Type	12 in.
	SC Refer to the report subsurface materials a indicated below repres material types.	DIL DESCI t text for a pro nd drilling me sent the appli and the tran	<b>RIPTION</b> oper understar ethods. The st roximate bound sition may be o	nding of the tratification lines daries between gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	0	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (</li> <li>● % Water 0</li> </ul>	0.075mm) Content
S Typ: LKN	indicated below representation indicated below representation in the i	sent the appl and the tran 3-9/6/2019 4/2019).	oximate bound sition may be g	daries between gradual. 019 was	Å	S S	Sa	_ ق چ	46 48 48 50 52 54 56	0	20	
Log: PVH Rev: EAS									58			
IAN_WIL.GDT 11/12/19	* Sample Not Re	ecovered	LEGENE	2       Well Screet         Image: Screet state       Bentonite         Image: Screet state       Bentonite         Image: Screet state       Ground W	een and -Cemer Chips/F Grout Vater Le	Sand ht Grou Pellets evel in	Filter ut Well			0 Bay Shor Improv	20 e and Washin rements Proie	40 60 gton ct
E 21-21829.GPJ SF	<u>NOTES</u> 1. Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, abbreviation 2. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date specified 3. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classification a				and de l and ma nd selec	finitior ay vary sted Ial	ns. /. b testing.		LO	Silverda	ale, Washingto	on 10PW-19
<b>STER_LOG</b>								ال S ش	anuary	2020	2 SON, INC.	1-1-21829-010 FIG. A-12

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

	Total Depth:         40 ft.         Northing:         241,170 ft.           Top Elevation:         15.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,524 ft.           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+44.2A ft.           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         15.7R	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill _ Oth	ling Me ling Co Rig E er Cor	ethod: ompany quipme mments	: ent:	Sonic Co Holt Ser Terra So	ore vices onic TSi 150CC	Hole Diam.: Rod Diam.: Hammer Type	<u> </u>
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of the subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification lines indicated below represent the approximate boundaries between material types, and the transition may be gradual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground	vrater Depth, ft.		<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (</li> <li>◆ % Water 0</li> </ul>	0.075mm) Content 40 60
	Asphalt. Brown, <i>Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)</i> to <i>Poorly</i> <i>Graded Sand with Silt and Gravel (SP-SM)</i> ; moist; subrounded to subangular gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic. (Hf) Brown, <i>Silty Gravel with Sand, Cobbles, and</i> <i>Boulders (GM)</i> ; moist; angular to rounded cobbles; angular to rounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic; trace debris (wire, metal U-bolt, and asphalt). (Hf) - Boulder from 2.5 to 3 feet. - Cobble from 5 to 5.5 feet. - Wet below 5 feet. Dark brown, <i>Silty Sand with Gravel and Cobbles</i> ( <i>SM</i> ); wet; little subrounded cobbles; subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic; some wood fibers and chunks. (Hf/Ha) - No recovery from approximately 8.5 to 10 feet.	0.3		1 2 2	9/3/2019 10:40:00 PM -	9/4/2019 11:37:00 AM A +	2 2 4 4 		
Log: PVH Rev: EAS Typ: LKN	Yellow-brown, <i>Silty Sand (SM)</i> ; wet; trace fine, subrounded gravel; fine to medium sand; nonplastic; trace wood fragments. (Qvro) Yellow-brown, <i>Silty Sand (SM)</i> ; wet; fine to medium sand; nonplastic. (Qvro) - With layers of red-brown, <i>Poorly Graded Sand</i> ( <i>SP</i> ) from 13 to 13.5 feet; fine to medium sand; nonplastic; iron oxide-stained. CONTINUED NEXT SHEET LEGEND	11.0		3 4 4		12	2 4 0	20	40 60
7 LOG E 21-21829.GPJ SHAN WIL.GDT 11/12/19	<ul> <li>★ Sample Not Recovered</li> <li>Grab Sample</li> <li>Bentonite</li> <li>Bentonite</li> <li>Bentonite</li> <li>Bentonite</li> <li>C</li> <li>Bentonite</li> <li>Bentonite</li> <li>C</li> <li>Bentonite</li> <li>Bentonite</li></ul>	een and -Cemer Chips/ Grout Vater Le Vater Le s and de d and m nd selee	Sand nt Grou Pellets evel in evel in efinitior ay vary cted lal	Filter ut Well VWP ns. /. b testing		LC	Bay Shor Improv Silverda <b>DG OF BC</b> ry 2020	e and Washin rements Proje ale, Washingt <b>DRING B-1</b> 2	gton ct on <b>I1WV-19</b> 1-1-21829-010
MASTER						SHAN Geotechr	INON & WIL	SON, INC.	FIG. A-13 Sheet 1 of 4

	Total Depth:         40 ft.         Northing:         241,1           Top Elevation:         15.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,5           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+44           Hariz Datum:         NAD 82         Offcat:         15	70 ft. 524 ft. .2A ft.	_ Drill _ Drill _ Drill	ling M ling Co Rig E	ethod: ompany: Equipme	<u></u>  nt: <u></u>	nic Cor It Servi rra Son	e Hole Diam.: i <u>ces</u> Rod Diam.: <u>nic TSi 150CC</u> Hammer Typ	<u> </u>
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understanding of th subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratification indicated below represent the approximate boundaries bet material types, and the transition may be gradual.	ne n lines ween	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines</li> <li>● % Water</li> <li>0</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	(<0.075mm) Content
	Gray, <i>Silty Sand (SM</i> ); wet; fine sand; nonplastic. (Qvro) Gray <i>Silt (ML)</i> ; wet; few fine sand; nonplastic		16.0 17.0		5 <b>G</b>		16		
-	fines. (Qvrl) - Low plasticity fines below 17.5 feet.	-1	19.2		6G		18		
-	( <i>SP-SM</i> ); wet; subrounded to rounded gravel fine to coarse sand; nonplastic. (Qpnf)	e/  ;	21.0				20		
	<ul> <li>Gray, Poorly Graded Gravel with Silt, Sand, and Cobbles (GP-GM) to Poorly Graded Sand with Silt and Gravel (SP-SM); wet; trace subround cobbles; subrounded to subangular gravel; fin to coarse sand; nonplastic.</li> <li>(Qpgo)</li> <li>Few diamict pockets of Silty Sand with Grave (SM) from 22 to 22.5 feet and 23.5 to 25 feet</li> </ul>	nd h hed ne vel vel			7G		22 24		
	- 1-foot layer at 25 feet of <i>Poorly Graded San</i> <i>with Silt (SP-SM)</i> ; fine to medium sand; nonplastic.	nd			8G		26		
y: PVH Rev: EAS Typ: LKN	Gray, <i>Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)</i> ; wet; subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic; diamict pockets. (Qpgo) Gray, <i>Poorly Graded Sand with Silt (SP-SM)</i> ; wet; few, subrounded gravel; fine to coarse sand; nonplastic; trace organics. (Opgo)		26.5		9		28		
GDT 11/12/19 Lo.	CONTINUED NEXT SHEET <u>LEGEND</u> * Sample Not Recovered  G Grab Sample  E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Well Scre Bentonite Bentonite	een and e-Cemer e Chips/ e Grout	Sand Sand nt Grou Pellets	Filter ut			0 20	40 60
829.GPJ SHAN_WIL	<ul> <li>▼ G</li> <li>▼ G</li> <li>▼ G</li> <li>NOTES</li> <li>1. Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, abbr</li> <li>2. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for the date</li> </ul>	Ground V Ground V reviations specified	Vater Le Vater Le s and de d and m	evel in evel in efinition ay vary	Well VWP ns. y.			Bay Shore and Washi Improvements Proj Silverdale, Washing	ngton ect ton
0G_E 21-21	3. USCS designation is based on visual-manual classifi	ication a	nd seled	cted la	b testing.		LO		<b>11WV-19</b> 21-1-21829-010
MASTER_L						S G	HANN	NON & WILSON, INC.	FIG. A-13 Sheet 2 of 4

	Total Depth:         40 ft.         Northing:         241,170 ft           Top Elevation:         15.3 ft.         Easting:         1,181,524           Vert. Datum:         NAVD88         Station:         18+44.2A           Horiz. Datum:         NAD 83         Offset:         15.7R		ft.         Drilling Method:           ft.         Drilling Company:           ft.         Drill Rig Equipment:           Other Comments:			Sonic Core <u>Holt Services</u> ent: <u>Terra Sonic TSi 150C</u> s:		e Hole Diam.: <u>ces</u> Rod Diam.: <u>ic TSi 150CC</u> Hammer Typ	6 in
	<b>SOIL DESCRIPTION</b> Refer to the report text for a proper understanding o subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stratifica indicated below represent the approximate boundaries l material types, and the transition may be gradual	f the tion lines between I.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (</li> <li>● % Water</li> <li>0</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	<0.075mm) Content 40 60
	<ul> <li>Diamict interbed of <i>Silty Sand (SM)</i> from 28.5 feet.</li> <li>Gray, <i>Silty Sand (SM)</i>; wet; fine sand; nonplastic; few seams of fine to medium sa (Qpgo)</li> <li>Gray, <i>Poorly Graded Sand with Silt and Gra (SP-SM)</i> to <i>Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)</i>; we subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to co sand; nonplastic.</li> <li>(Qpgo).</li> <li>Gray, <i>Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)</i>; wet; fine subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to co sand; nonplastic.</li> <li>(Qpgo).</li> <li>Gray, <i>Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)</i>; wet; fine subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to co sand; nonplastic to low plasticity; diamict pockets.</li> </ul>	and. ave/ ave/ oarse	30.0 - 31.5 - 33.5		10		32		
	(Qpg0)         Dark gray Silt with Sand (ML); moist to wet;         trace fine, subrounded gravel; fine to coars         sand; nonplastic to low plasticity; trace woo         diamict.         (Qpgm)         Gray, Silty Sand with Gravel (SM); moist to         subangular to subrounded gravel; fine to coars	e od; wet; parse ockets	36.5		11G		38		
Typ: LKN	with organics. (Qpnf) BOTTOM OF BORING COMPLETED 8/26/2019 Notes: 1. Potholed to 5 feet on 8/26/2019.		40.0				40 42		
Log: PVH Rev: EAS	<ol> <li>Heaved about 6 feet when lifted rods at 4 feet.</li> <li>WWP is Geokon Model 4500S-350kPa, 1927042.</li> <li>Well is constructed of 2-inch ID PVC case and 30-slot screen, with #12/20 filter pack s CONTINUED NEXT SHEET</li> </ol>	40 S/N sing sand					44	0 20	40 60
29.GPJ SHAN_WIL.GDT 11/12/19	Sample Not Recovered     Sample Not Recovered     Grab Sample     NOTES     Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, codes, at     Groundwater level if indicated above is for the de	Well Scr Bentonite Bentonite Ground V Ground V	een and e-Cemer e Chips/ e Grout Water Le Water Le s and de	Sand at Gro Pellets evel in evel in	Filter ut Well VWP ns.			Bay Shore and Washii Improvements Proje Silverdale, Washing	ngton ect con
LOG E 21-218	3. USCS designation is based on visual-manual clas	sification a	ind selec	ted la	,. b testing.	J	LO	<b>G OF BORING B</b> - ( 2020 2	<b>11WV-19</b>
MASTER						S	<b>SHANN</b> ieotechnic	NON & WILSON, INC.	FIG. A-13 Sheet 3 of 4

	Total Depth:       40 ft.       Northing:         Top Elevation:       15.3 ft.       Easting:         Vert. Datum:       NAVD88       Station:         Horiz. Datum:       NAD 83       Offset:	241,170 ft. 1,181,524 ft. 18+44.2A ft. 15.7R	Drilli Drilli Drill Othe	ng Me ng Co Rig E er Cor	ethod: ompany: quipmer mments:	<u></u>  nt:	onic Core olt Servic erra Son	e ces ic TSi 150CC	Hole Diam.: Rod Diam.: Hammer Type	<u> </u>
	SOIL DESCRIPTION Refer to the report text for a proper understand subsurface materials and drilling methods. The stra indicated below represent the approximate bounda material types, and the transition may be gr	ling of the tification lines ries between adual.	Depth, ft.	Symbol	Samples	Ground Water	Depth, ft.	0	<ul> <li>◇ % Fines (</li> <li>● % Water (</li> </ul>	:0.075mm) Content
Log: PVH Rev: EAS Typ: LKN	<ol> <li>Shallowest VWP groundwater readir measured on 9/3-9/6/2019 was 4.5 fee (9/4/2019).</li> <li>Shallowest well groundwater reading measured on 9/3-9/6/2019 and 9/9-9/1 was -0.2 feet deep (artesian, 9/3/2019)</li> </ol>	ng ti deep 0/2019					46 48 50 52 54 56 58			
LOG E 21-21829.GPJ SHAN WIL.GDT 11/12/19	* Sample Not Recovered     Sample Not Recovered     Grab Sample     Sample     S      Grab Sample     S      S      NOTES      Refer to KEY for explanation of symbols, code     S. Groundwater level, if indicated above, is for th     S. USCS designation is based on visual-manual	Well Scree Bentonite- Bentonite Bentonite Ground W Ground W Ground W ses, abbreviations the date specified classification and	en and Cemen Chips/F Grout ater Le ater Le and de and ma d selec	Sand I t Grou Pellets vel in ' vel in ' finitior ay vary ted lat	Filter It Well VWP Is. 7. 5 testing.	J	LOC	0 Bay Shord Improv Silverda <b>G OF BC</b> v 2020	20 e and Washin ements Proje ale, Washingt <b>RING B-</b> 2	40 60 gton ct on I <b>1WV-19</b> 1-1-21829-010
MASTER						S G	<b>HANN</b> eotechnic	NON & WIL	SON, INC.	FIG. A-13 Sheet 4 of 4

REV 3 - Approved for Submittal

Bori	ng Location: See GIMP Plan, Drawing M01, Sheet 2	Drilling Cor	mpany:	Geologic Drill	Bore Hole Dia .: 8-inch	
Тор	Elevation: 13.07 Feet	Drilling Me	thod:	Hollow Stem Auger	Hammer Type: Cat Head	<b>MW-2</b>
Date	<u>) Drilled:</u> 9/8/2020	Drill Rig:		CAT Track	Logged by: BST	
	SOIL DESCRIPTION	5.0	er	PENETRATION F	RESISTANCE (blows/foot)	<u>v</u>
(ft)		LES V (In.)	Wat	Standard Penet	tration Test	ount ing
epth	The stratification lines represent the approximate boundaries between soil types. The transition may be gradual. Refer to	AMP scover	pun	$\Delta$ Hammer Weigh	it and Drop:	Test
	report text and appendices for additional information.	Sar S4 R¢	Gro	20	10	B B '
- 0 -		<b></b>	╘╴┲			
	SAND, some gravel					-
	4			<b>y</b>		-
	scattered organics in upper 3 feet			}		-
	1			$\{1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,$		-
- 5 -	1		X	<u>}</u>		
Ŭ	Medium dense, moist grading to saturated at about 3.4 feet,	S-1 16		8		10
	gray, gravelly SAND, trace slit.	⊥		8		
						1
-10-	Medium dense, saturated, gray, gravelly SAND, trace silt.	S-2 8				- 14
						- 14
	1					
	1					~
15-	1					4
		-				-
	Boring terminated at approximately 16 feet below existing grade. Groundwater was encountered at approximately 3.4-					
	feet after drilling (AD). Well tag #BLY008					
	1					-
20-						
						1
						-
-25-	SAMPLE LEGEND GROUNDWATER LEGEND	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	↓ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nes (<0.075 mm)	<u> </u>
-	2-inch O.D. split spoon sample 🔯 Clean Sand			O % W	/ater (Moisture) Content	
Ī	☐ 3-inch I.D. Shelby tube sample ⊠ Bentonite			Plastic Limit		nit
	Grout/Concrete			Natu	ral Water Content	
	Screened Casing			Bay Sho	ore Improvements	
	TESTING KEY Blank Casing			Bav Shore D	Dr. & Washington Av	/e.
	GSA = Grain Size Analysis			Silverd	ale. Washington	
	200W = 200 Wash Analysis time of drilling (ATD) or on date of	ſ	Date:	September 2020	Project No.:	2158.10
	Consol. = Consolidation Test		7	linnor(-0		
	Att. = Atterberg Limits		<b>_</b>			MW-2
				19019 36th Ave. W, Su	LUG.	1 of 1
					Page	